Microbiome Clusters Disclose Physiologic Variances in Dairy Cows Challenged by Calving and Lipopolysaccharides
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ABSTRACT Dairy cows respond individually to stressful situations, even under similar feeding and housing conditions. The phenotypic responsiveness might trace back to their microbiome and its interactions with the host. This long-term study investigated the effects of calving, lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced inflammation, and L-carnitine supplementation on fecal bacteria and metabolites, dairy cow milk production, health, energy metabolism, and blood metabolites. Fifty-four multiparous Holstein dairy cows were examined over a defined period of life (168 days). The obtained data allowed a holistic analysis combining microbiome data such as 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing and fecal targeted metabolome (188 metabolites) with host parameters. The conducted analyses allowed the definition of three enterotype-like microbiome clusters in dairy cows which could be linked to the community diversity and dynamics over time. The microbiome clusters were discovered to be treatment independent, governed by *Bifidobacterium* (C-Bifi), unclassified (uncl) *Clostridiales* (C-Clos), and unclassified *Spirochaetaceae* (C-Spiro). Animals between the clusters varied significantly in terms of illnesses, body weight, microbiome composition, and milk and blood parameters. C-Bifi animals were healthier and leaner with a less diverse but dynamic microbiome. C-Spiro animals were heavier, but the diversity of the static microbiome was higher. This pioneering study uncovered microbiome clusters in dairy cows, each contributing differently to animal health and productive performance and with a crucial role of *Bifidobacterium*.

IMPORTANCE The health of dairy cows has to be carefully considered for sustainable and efficient animal production. The microbiome of animals plays an important role in the host’s nutrient supply and regulation of immune functions. We show that a certain composition of the fecal microbiome, called microbiome clusters, can be linked to an animal’s health at challenging life events such as calving and inflammation. Cows with a specific set of bacteria have coped better under these stressors than have others. This novel information has great potential for implementing microbiome clusters as a trait for sustainable breeding strategies.
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For some time, dairy cow breeding has focused on phenotypic ideals with no regard for intestinal bacterial communities, which have evolved within, or for the cow itself across evolution. The intestinal symbionts of ruminants are crucial for proper fiber degradation, fermentation, vitamin production, and host immune functions (1, 2). Even though natural life expectancy can reach up to 20 years, modern dairy cows live between 4.5 and 6 years (3, 4), since production diseases, such as claw lesions and lameness, rumen and hindgut acidosis, ketosis, and reproduction disorders have
become more common among herds (5, 6). These diseases often originate from a non-physiological, high-energy diet necessary for high milk yields; however, it causes perturbation of the established microbiome (1, 6). This diet malnourishes protective, mucus-stimulating gut bacteria, such as *Bifidobacterium* (7), and promotes potentially pathogenic consortia (8). Even though it promotes high productivity, this new microbial community is accompanied by gastrointestinal acidosis, followed by epithelial leakiness (9). The decrease in mucus thickness soon exposes the underlying bare gut epithelia and increases the risk of infection (6, 7, 9). Such a “leaky gut” poses open gates for lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and other immune triggers, reaching the bloodstream and contributing to a latent inflammatory status (6, 8–12). This cascade of increased intestinal stress makes the host more sensitive to infections or health issues, thereby triggering a downward spiral in the physiological state. The latest studies have associated the aforementioned health issues with an impaired gut microbiome (9, 12), suggesting that the modern dairy industry should focus on maintaining gut health, including its complex ecosystem and integrity, to increase the cows’ well-being and performance (9). Additional stressors, such as calving or infection, can pose the final blow for the animal. In particular, calving, and the subsequent transition period, are the most critical and energy-requiring periods in the dairy cow’s life. Energy metabolism in animals is crucial for coping with physiological challenges. However, excessive mobilization of body fat and enhanced ratios of energy in the diet can cause a metabolic imbalance (5). Therefore, finding an optimal balance between the animals’ demands by nature and high performance is a difficult task. Feed supplementation with l-carnitine (CAR), a metabolite inevitably necessary for the transport of long-chain fatty acids into the mitochondria for β-oxidation, is suggested to enhance the energetic potential of dairy cows (13). Cows with an improved energy metabolism could emerge better from stressful phases.

The combined analysis of the fecal microbiome, its metabolites, animal performance variables, and health indicators has the potential to elucidate and understand the cow in its complexity. Hence, the objective of this study was to identify the role of the microbiome in the health of dairy cows during challenging periods. The present work tested physiological and the microbial differences between individuals of the same herd during the calving, transition, and an LPS-induced inflammatory challenge with or without supplementation with rumen-protected l-carnitine.

RESULTS

The present study investigated 54 multiparous Holstein dairy cows over 168 days, including calving and an inflammatory challenge induced by LPS injection. Fecal samples were collected at 13 time points to obtain a representative overview of the microbiome changes in the host during two physiological challenges (Fig. 1; see Data Sets S1 to S3 at https://github.com/SebasSaenz/Troscher-Mussotter_Cow-enterotypes_2021/tree/main/Datasets). (Time points including a “−” or “+” indicate days antepartum or postpartum, and those including “hC” or “hL” are samples taken at 12, 24, or 72 h after calving or LPS challenge, respectively.) The herd was randomly split into l-carnitine-supplemented (CAR) and nonsupplemented (CON) cows, defined hereafter as treatment. In general, the time point of sampling had a significant and stronger impact on operational taxonomic unit (OTU)-based community structures (Fig. 2a; analysis of similarity [ANOSIM] global R = 0.298, P = 0.0001; permutational multivariate analysis of variance [PERMANOVA] F = 6.08, P = 0.0001) see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material) than the age of the animal (ANOSIM global R = −0.001, P = 0.504; PERMANOVA F = 3.69, P = 0.0001) or treatment (ANOSIM global R = 0.01, P = 0.006; PERMANOVA F = 2.67, P = 0.0001), with drastic changes after calving and feed adjustment (time point +14). Before calving (time point −14), the microbiomes show the highest within-time similarity (similarity percentage [SIMPER] average at the OTU level = 29.3%), as greater variations among individual animal communities are seen at all other time points (see Data Set S4 at https://github.com/SebasSaenz/Troscher-Mussotter_Cow-enterotypes_2021/
The highest dissimilarity between time points at the OTU level was observed between 12 h after calving (12hC) and time point 142 (86.7%). The highest genus contribution to time point similarity was observed at 72hC by *Bifidobacterium* (OTU1 and OTU2), accounting for 31.3% of the total similarity.

Community dispersion and alpha-diversity cause the formation of distinct microbiome clusters. Changes in nutritional and physiological conditions over a long time period are significant factors to change the microbial composition. But the extent of such dynamic changes may vary at the individual animal level. This was calculated without considering any *a priori* groups and using the multivariate dispersion (MVDISP) approach. Each animal received a dispersion score depending on its respective samples. This allows for the separation of the herd into a dynamic and a static group (Fig. 2b) by using the mean MVDISP of 1.000 as the separator. High MVDISP values indicate high heterogeneity between the samples and a more variable bacterial composition, while low values indicate low heterogeneity. As a result, 26 individuals were assigned to the dynamic group (MVDISP > 1.000) and 28 to the static group (MVDISP ≤ 1.000) over the entire sampling period. In addition, the alpha-diversity calculated as the Shannon diversity index matches for the majority of samples the calculated dispersions, as higher Shannon indexes (>5.20) are identified for dynamic animals and lower indexes (<5.19) for static animals (Fig. 2c; see Data Set S3 at https://github.com/SebasSaenz/Troscher-Mussotter_Cow-enterotypes_2021/tree/main/Datatsets).

Clusters of distinct community composition types are identified and linked to dispersion and diversity. Due to the observed differences in dynamics, the hypothesis was that 54 animals could be grouped into distinct community composition clusters for the whole experimental period. The decision to average the data sets per cow was done based on our intention to identify microbiomes which can be linked to animals with good performance and health rather than to describe microbial changes induced by nutrition. Therefore, the enterotype approach of Arumugam et al. (14) was applied, and three distinct microbiome clusters across the herd and experimental period were revealed (Fig. 2d and 3) (PERMANOVA $F = 9.10$, $P = 0.0001$). Eleven animals were assigned to the cluster governed by *Bifidobacterium* (C-Bifi) (CAR:CON ratio = 7:4), revealing *Bifidobacterium*, unclassified (uncl.) *Coriobacteriales*, and uncl. *Lachnospiraceae* as the dominant genera (SIMPER average similarity at genus level = 58.4%) (see Data Set S4 at https://github.com/SebasSaenz/Troscher-Mussotter_Cow-enterotypes_2021/tree/main/Datatsets). The cluster governed by the uncl.
**Clostridiales** (C-Clos), which comprised 27 animals (CAR:CON = 12:15), was colonized by uncl. **Clostridiales**, uncl. **Ruminococcaceae**, and **Oscillibacter** (SIMPER average similarity at the genus level = 62.4%). Sixteen animals were dominated by uncl. **Spirochaetaceae**, uncl. **Bacteroidetes**, and uncl. **Haloplasmatales** and clustered into the uncl. **Spirochaetaceae** (C-Spiro) group (SIMPER average similarity at genus level = 65.1%; CAR:CON = 6:10). C-Spiro and C-Bifi genus communities were the most disparate across all time points (ANOSIM global $R = 0.334$, $P = 0.0001$; SIMPER average dissimilarity at genus level = 44.2%), followed by C-Bifi versus C-Clos (ANOSIM global $R = 0.264$, $P = 0.0001$; SIMPER average dissimilarity at genus level = 44.0%) and C-Spiro versus C-Clos (ANOSIM global $R = 0.079$, $P = 0.0001$; SIMPER average dissimilarity at genus level = 38.3%). Combining MVDISP and the microbiome cluster results in 91% C-Bifi, 48% C-Clos, and 19% C-Spiro animals, which are sorted into the dynamic group (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). Hence, microbiomes of the C-Bifi animals were largely dynamic and those of C-Spiro were largely static, with C-Clos representing an intermediate cluster.

Copy number counts of quantitative PCR (qPCR)-derived total bacteria are only significantly different at time point +14 with C-Clos, showing a significantly higher count.
than the other clusters (Fig. S3a). Diversity across individual time points is significantly higher in C-Spiro, followed by C-Clos, and lowest in C-Bifi (Fig. 4). A significant decrease in diversity during calving and LPS challenge was observed to different extents. Regression slopes indicated a stronger significant decrease in diversity during calving (−42 to 72hC) in C-Bifi ($R^2 = 0.2$, $P = 0.004$) and C-Clos ($R^2 = 0.2$, $P = 0.0001$) than in C-Spiro ($R^2 = 0.1$, $P = 0.02$). The decreasing slope across the LPS challenge (time point +100 to 72 h after LPS challenge [72hL]) was significant only for C-Bifi ($R^2 = 0.1$, $P = 0.03$). ANOSIM analysis reveals significantly stronger effects due to microbiome clusters than to treatment (see Data Set S4 at https://github.com/SebasSaenz/Troscher-Mussotter_Cow-enterotypes_2021/tree/main/Datatsets).

**FIG 3** Three clusters of dairy cows within the same herd. Relative abundance genus data were averaged per animal across all 13 time points, resulting in a total of 54 data points included in this R-supported analysis. Labels in the figure indicate the genera with the highest taxon weight of the cluster, as follows: for cluster 1, it was uncl. *Clostridiales* (C-Clos, $n = 27$, CAR:CON = 12:15), for cluster 2, it was uncl. *Spirochaetaceae* (C-Spiro, $n = 16$, CAR:CON = 6:10), and for cluster 3, it was *Bifidobacterium* (C-Bifi, $n = 11$, CAR:CON = 7:4).

**Bacterial networks in the microbiome clusters.** The main discriminative genus between the microbiome clusters is *Bifidobacterium*, which largely contributes to the total dissimilarity between the three animal clusters (SIMPER average, 15.9%) (see Data Set S4 at https://github.com/SebasSaenz/Troscher-Mussotter_Cow-enterotypes_2021/tree/main/Datatsets). It shows the highest relative abundance in C-Bifi (14.6%), followed by C-Clos (8.6%) and C-Spiro (6.9%) (Fig. S4 and S5). Copy numbers of *Bifidobacterium* matched Illumina sequencing results in trends (Fig. S6) but are not significantly different between the clusters across different time points (Fig. S3b). Members of uncl. *Bacteroidales* were highest in C-Bifi (28.4%) in comparison to the other two clusters (C-Clos, 23.1%; C-Spiro, 24.2%) and contributed an average of 13.2% to dissimilarity among them. Uncl. *Lachnospiraceae* members were highest in C-Bifi.
(14.0%), followed by C-Clos (9.4%) and C-Spiro (7.9%), contributing an average of 9.2% to the dissimilarity. Uncl. Clostridiales members were the most abundant in C-Clos animals (15.8%), followed by C-Spiro (12.7%) and C-Bifdobacterium (9.9%), contributing an average of 8.2% to cluster dissimilarity. Turicibacter members were lowest in C-Bifdobacterium (0.6%) and C-Spiro (2.9%) and the highest in C-Clos (4.1%), contributing an average of 4.6% to their dissimilarity. Uncl. Ruminococcaceae members contributed an average of 5.4% to the cluster separation, with higher abundance in C-Clos (9.8%), followed by C-Spiro (8.0%) and C-Bifdobacterium (5.9%).

Cooccurrence network analysis by nonparametric Spearman’s rank correlation ($r$) offers insights into the main interactions between the dominant cluster genera (Bifdobacterium, uncl. Clostridiales, and uncl. Spirochaetaceae) and other community members at three levels of interaction (Fig. 5). In Fig. 5, open circle shapes with overlying genera indicate first-level correlations with the respective dominating genus. The inner circle lines indicate genera with second-level correlations. From circles, outwardly directed lines indicate third-level correlating genera (solely $|r| \geq 0.5$), which are not directly connected to the respective dominating genus with similar strength. Bifdobacterium was negatively associated with first-level genera in the C-Bifdobacterium and C-Clos clusters but also with uncl. Ruminococcaceae at the second level. Uncl. Marinilabilia was a first-level member in all clusters; however, it was negatively associated with Bifdobacterium in C-Bifdobacterium ($r \leq -0.3$) and positively associated with both of the other clusters ($r \geq 0.3$). This genus concatenated a wide range of positively correlated genera, such as uncl. Proteobacteria in C-Clos (third level), as well as uncl. Peptostreptococcaceae and Succinivibrio in C-Spiro (second level). Uncl. Spirochaetaceae in C-Spiro showed an enhanced number of positive correlations with genera at the selected threshold compared to the other clusters.

**Fermentation products and microbiome clusters.** Short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) of 610 fecal samples of 54 animals, covering 13 time points, were measured (Fig. S7; see Data Set S5 at https://github.com/SebasSaenz/Troscher-Mussotter_Cow-enterotypes_2021/tree/main/DataSet). Generally, time had a more significant impact on SCFA (ANOSIM global $R = 0.207$, $P = 0.0001$; PERMANOVA $F = 21.5$, $P = 0.0001$) than treatment.
During early lactation, C-Spiro showed a rapid and enhanced formation of propionate, butyrate, isobutyrate, valerate, and isovalerate. However, during LPS challenge at time point 12hL, clusters C-Bifi and C-Clos formed higher concentrations of propionate, isobutyrate, valerate, and isovalerate, with a delay for higher acetate concentrations at 72hL.

**Fecal metabolites and microbiome clusters.** A metabolomics approach targeting 188 different metabolites is used to obtain additional information about the host and microbiome function, with respect to time, challenges, and composition (see Data Set S5 at https://github.com/SebasSaenz/Troscher-Mussotter_Cow-enterotypes_2021/tree/main/Datasets). A subset of seven time points was chosen to cover the two challenge phases in all animals. Time had a strong impact on metabolite composition (ANOSIM global $R = 0.102$, $P = 0.0001$; PERMANOVA $F = 11.10$, $P = 0.0001$) compared to microbiome clusters (ANOSIM global $R = -0.002$, $P = 0.526$; PERMANOVA $F = 3.65$, $P = 0.0001$) and treatment (ANOSIM global $R = 0.016$, $P = 0.007$; PERMANOVA $F = 3.15$, $P = 0.0001$).
The dominant metabolite groups in the fecal samples were amino acids (>57%) and hexoses (H1) (>31%), followed by biogenic amines (>4%), with alanine (Ala), glutamine (Glu), and glycine (Gly) as major metabolites, which were all highest in C-Bi.

The total fecal metabolite concentration is significantly higher in C-Bi than in C-Spiro \((P = 0.016)\), with amino acid concentrations contributing the most to this difference \((P = 0.04)\). *Bifidobacterium* abundance is significantly positively associated with higher levels of histamine (His), Ala, valine (Val), Gly, methionine (Met), leucine (Leu), Glu, isoleucine (Ile), methionine sulfoxide (Met-SO), and symmetric dimethylarginine (SDMA) \((F < 0.05)\). Uncl. *Clostridiales* was negatively correlated with phosphatidylcholine diacyl C38:6 (PC.aa.C38.6), asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA), SDMA, C18:2, His, Ala, Val, and Met but positively correlated with lysophosphatidylcholine C14:0 (lysoPC.a.C14.0). The uncl. *Spirochaetaeaceae* genera did not show significant correlations with the metabolites. Four lysophosphatidylcholines (lysoPC.a.C14,0, lysoPC.a.C16,0, lysoPC.a.C17,0, and lysoPC.a.C24,0) were significantly \((P < 0.05)\) higher in dynamic animals than in static animals. Phosphatidylcholines (PC), irrespective of microbiome clusters, showed a clear gap between time points before 12hC (higher PC.a.C42,2, Spearman’s \(r \approx 0.8\)) and after 72hC. Amino acids, irrespective of microbiome clusters, revealed a separation between time points before 12hL and after 72hL (higher His, threonine [Thr], and tryptophan [Trp]; all Spearman’s \(r \approx 0.7\)).

**Functional prediction of the microbiome clusters.** Rumen-specific functional prediction of the gut microbiome was performed using CowPI precalculated files and PICRUSt. In total, 256 KEGG pathways were predicted among all samples, and 17 amino acid pathways were manually selected as metabolome analyses, indicating differences in amino acid profiles. The analysis reveals significant differences primarily in amino acid-related pathways between the microbiome clusters (Fig. S9). Ala, aspartate (Asp),

![Diagram showing metabolite distribution](image-url)
Glu, cysteine (Cys), Met, and tyrosine (Tyr) metabolism and biosynthesis of amino acid-related enzymes lysine (Lys), Val, Leu, and Ile are increased in C-Bi. C-Spiro was higher in amino and nucleotide sugar metabolism, and C-Clos was higher in aminobenzoate degradation, D-alanine metabolism, and Val, Leu, and Ile degradation.

Microbiome clusters are linked to animal’s health records and production parameters. The long-term experimental trial, including calving and inflammatory challenge, affected animal health to different extents. Results of daily visual examination of dairy cows are shown in Data Set S6 at https://github.com/SebasSaenz/Troscher-Mussotter_Cow-enterotypes_2021/tree/main/Datatsets and were evaluated with respect to the microbiome clusters and the corresponding animals. Seventy-two percent of C-Bi animals were ill at least once, struggling with one or more illnesses 6.1 days on average (standard error of the mean [SEM], 2.4 days). Seventy-four percent of C-Clos animals were sick 11.4 days on average (SEM, 3.2 days), compared to 81% of all C-Spiro animals, which were sick 9.8 days on average (SEM, 5.3 days). Animals belonging to C-Clos experienced a broader spectrum of health issues, followed by C-Spiro and C-Bi. Milk yields, body condition scores (BCS), body weight (BW), and residual energy intake (REI) are significantly higher (P ≤ 0.0001) in C-Spiro animals than in C-Bi animals (Fig. 7; see Data Set S7 at https://github.com/SebasSaenz/Troscher-Mussotter_Cow-enterotypes_2021/tree/main/Datatsets). In turn, C-Bi animals

**FIG 7** Microbiome clusters show differences in production and physiological parameters across multiple time points. Significant differences among microbiome clusters C-Bi (11 animals), C-Clos (27 animals), and C-Spiro (16 animals) are indicated by P values (***, P ≤ 0.0001; **, P ≤ 0.005; *, P ≤ 0.05) derived from the nonparametric Wilcoxon method. n values are the total number of samples averaged per cluster. Time points including a “-“ or “+” indicate days antepartum or postpartum, respectively, and time points including “hC” or “hL” are samples taken at 12, 24, or 72 h after calving or LPS challenge, respectively. SSC, somatic cell count; BCS, body condition score; DMI, dry matter intake; REI, residual energy intake.
had significantly higher concentrate intakes, REI values, and milk protein \((P \leq 0.0001)\) and fat \((P \leq 0.05)\) concentrations than C-Clos animals. C-Clos animals had significantly higher milk somatic cell counts (SCC) than both the other clusters, significantly lower dry matter intakes than C-Bifi \((P \leq 0.05)\), and were mostly intermediate for the other parameters. Rectal temperatures were significantly higher at 3 days antepartum for C-Bifi animals than C-Spiro animals \((P = 0.0293)\) (see Data Set S6 at https://github.com/SebasSaenz/Troscher-Mussotter_Cow-enterotypes_2021/tree/main/Datatsets).

**Influence of microbiome clusters on blood parameters.** Blood samples were collected at 37 time points, and 23 time points were included in the present study, covering 62 metabolites and cellular parameters (see Data Set S8 at https://github.com/SebasSaenz/Troscher-Mussotter_Cow-enterotypes_2021/tree/main/Datatsets). The effects of time point and treatment on red blood cell count (15), energy metabolism, and electrolytes (16, 17) have recently been published. Among all the parameters, chloride \((\text{Cl})\) is significantly different \((P < 0.0001)\) between each microbiome cluster, with the highest significance in C-Bifi and the lowest in C-Spiro (Fig. S10). No significant differences in water intake among the microbiome clusters were observed. In addition, ionized calcium \((\text{Ca}^{2+})\) and hematocrit \((\text{HCT}; \text{measured using Celltac})\) were significantly different \((P \leq 0.0001)\) between each cluster, with the lowest significance for C-Bifi and the highest for C-Spiro. Mean platelet volumes \((\text{MPV})\) were significantly different \((P < 0.0001)\) between all three clusters, with that of C-Clos at the highest and that of C-Bifi at the lowest level. In contrast, C-Bifi had significantly higher carnitine and gamma-butyrobetaine \((\text{gBB})\) concentrations and ferric reducing ability of plasma \((\text{FRAP})\) \((P \leq 0.02)\). In addition, this cluster showed a significantly lower mean corpuscular volume \((\text{MCV})\), mean corpuscular hemoglobin \((\text{MCH})\), glutathione peroxidase \((\text{GPx})\), thrombocrit \((\text{PCT})\), partial pressure of carbon dioxide \((\text{tpCO}_2\text{, temperature corrected})\), partial carbon dioxide pressure \((\text{pCO}_2)\), hemoglobin \((\text{HGB})\), total carbon dioxide \((\text{tCO}_2)\), bicarbonate \((\text{HCO}_3)\), and non-esterified fatty acids \((\text{NEFA})\) than the other two clusters. For the C-Spiro cluster, blood glucose, lactate, sodium \((\text{Na})\), hematocrit \((\text{chct, measured using blood gas analyzer})\), and superoxide dismutase \((\text{SOD})\) were significantly lower, and trimethyllysine \((\text{TML})\), triglycerides \((\text{TG})\), and beta-hydroxybutyrate \((\text{BHB})\) concentrations were significantly higher than that of the other clusters. Lymphocytes \((\text{LYP})\) were significantly lower, and absolute granulocytes \((\text{GR})\), neutrophile granulocyte percentage \((\text{GRP})\), and white blood cells \((\text{WBC})\) were significantly higher in C-Clos animals than in the other two clusters.

**DISCUSSION**

The aim of this long-term study was to elucidate the relationship between the dairy cow as a host, its fecal metabolites and microbiome during two challenging periods, and the modulating ability of \(L\)-carnitine. Combining these data with blood metabolite and performance data offered insights into different stages of the dairy cow’s life and revealed unknown relationships between the above-mentioned players. \(L\)-Carnitine supplementation did not affect fecal metabolites or bacterial consortia in the present study. However, CAR animals had higher milk fat and serum triacylglyceride concentrations \((16)\), as well as increased blood platelets and plateletcrit \((15)\) during early lactation. In addition, higher insulin levels and lower NEFA concentrations were observed after LPS injection \((17)\).

As a major novel finding, this study detected three “robust clusters,” as previously described in humans and referred to as “enterotypes” \((14)\). Enterotypes are the strongest separator for microbial community structures in murine models \((18)\) yet have never been reported in dairy cows. The respective microbiome clusters were characterized by different community structures, microbial diversity, fecal SCFA, and metabolite patterns. In addition, the animal’s health conditions and performance data were cluster specific. As previously observed, enterotype distributions varied over time instead of
underlying a continuum (19). Hence, it was decided to refer to them as “microbiome clusters” in the present study.

Finding the “ideal” (20) or “steady state” of the microbiome is a goal in human and livestock animal studies (21, 22), as it is seen as the “healthy” mode. High stability may be beneficial in keeping up inherent functional relationships between the host and its microbiome (23). However, in the case of strong environmental disturbances, the complete system may be at a higher risk of collapse (23). The implications of flexible microbiomes, defined by quick losses and gains of taxa, are receiving more attention. However, the response potential of the host microbiome to environmental changes has not yet been explored (23). Small sample sizes and the lack of knowledge of physiological fluctuations of microbial communities often do not allow classification into dynamic or static phenotypes. Therefore, the present study offers new insights into the response of static and dynamic dairy cow microbiomes to different challenges. It was observed that animals with a dynamic microbiome had low fecal alpha-diversities and positive REI values, consistent with the results of previous studies on steers, where high fecal alpha-diversities correlated with low residual feed intake (RFI) (24). Animals with a dynamic microbiome, mostly associated with the C-Bif group, were observed to have higher milk quality (milk LogSCC, protein, and fat), lower body weight, fewer health issues, and lower ketosis risk (low NEFA, BHB, and TG; high glucose and carnitine). Therefore, it is suggested that animals with a dynamic microbiome might have a lower risk of ketosis and fatty liver disease. Further research on the flexibility of microbiomes should be conducted to evaluate static or dynamic microbial communities and their implications for the host.

At the start of the lactation period, fiber is considerably replaced by readily fermentable carbohydrates to meet the energy demand of the dairy cow. This increases ruminal SCFA concentrations (6) for energy coverage and subsequent milk production, as well as microbial biomass in feces (25). Most microbial proteins are absorbed in the small intestine but also enter the large intestine, where they can be implicated in microbial cross talk of residential microbes (26). Bihdobacterium and other fiber-degrading bacteria can thrive in the hindgut with the remaining microbial proteins and metabolites, complex fibers, and host glycoproteins as nutrient sources. The protein sources were further digested or used for de novo synthesis of free amino acids. In the present study, concentrations of amino acids in the feces during transition increased with increasing SCFA concentrations. Therefore, high-grain feeding simplified the cascade of digesta degradation, accelerated its passage rate, and presented postruminal sections with a highly degradable substrate (9, 25). The physiological purpose of gastrointestinal compartments is hereby suspended, and formerly specialized consortia cannot apply their functions (1). This opens the niche to a more diverse set of invading bacteria, such as facultative anaerobic Proteobacteria (27). Proteobacteria are involved in inflammaging processes and leaky gut syndrome in mice (28). In the present study, Proteobacteria members were 4.5-fold more abundant in static C-Spiro animals than in dynamic, low-alpha-diversity C-Bif animals. Interestingly, the latter cluster was found to struggle with fewer health issues than the C-Clos cluster, which, however, also included the most individuals. As a result, this may lead to an overinterpretation of the clusters’ disease status. Cluster C-Clos covered a much broader range of more cost-intensive health impairments, with the highest milk SCC as well as blood MPV, WBC, and granulocytes, which are involved in the immune response during infections and may indicate a disruption of the gut intestinal barrier (12). C-Clos animals had higher Turicibacter abundances, which were positively associated with high-grain feeding and cecal mucosa damage via interleukin 6 (IL-6) and IL-12 mRNA expression in goats (29). This may indicate that enterotypes may be able to group animals according to their inflammatory status (18). Fecal Turicibacter abundances were negatively associated with functional traits such as amino acid metabolism, biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, enzyme families, and lipid metabolism in a previous dairy cow study (30). In the present study, high Turicibacter abundance was associated with low
concentrations of free fecal amino acids and a lowered abundance of predicted amino acid metabolism, which corroborates previous findings. The respective animal productions were characterized by lower milk protein and milk fat levels. A pronounced increase in *Turicibacter* was observed during the challenging phases for C-Clos and C-Spiro, but *Turicibacter* was almost absent in C-Bi animals. The findings of Liu et al. (29) combined with the present results may indicate that C-Clos and C-Spiro animals might have struggled with intestinal damage. Whether the increase in *Turicibacter* is the cause or the consequence of possible inflammation needs to be evaluated.

*Clostridiales* are involved in amino acid fermentation in the large intestine and use ethanol and lactate as substrates to produce CO$_2$, hydrogen, and SCFA (31), and intestinal CO$_2$, derived from digestive fermentation is absorbed from the small intestine (26, 32). Increased CO$_2$ levels in the intestine (hypercapnia) promote hypoxia-inducible factor degradation, which plays a major role in intestinal tight junction integrity and mucus stabilization (33, 34). CO$_2$ is also produced during hepatic β-oxidation and stimulates satiety (35). Additionally, high blood pCO$_2$ concentrations have been associated with subacute ruminal acidosis (SARA) (36). Here, animals with microbiome clusters having a high abundance of uncl. *Clostridiales* (C-Clos > C-Spiro) and higher blood pCO$_2$, and the respective OTUs were mostly linked to the degradation of fecal amino acids rather than biosynthesis. This is usually accompanied by an increased production of fecal branched-chain fatty acids (isobutyrate and isovalerate) (26), which was not observed. In addition, saturation signaling via high blood pCO$_2$ levels in animals with high abundance of uncl. *Clostridiales* (C-Clos) are mirrored by significantly lower dry matter intake (DMI) and concentration intake than animals with low abundance of uncl. *Clostridiales* (C-Bi), thereby supporting the findings of previous studies (35).

Together, these results indicate that the microbiome clusters coped differently metabolically and may mirror different health conditions. In addition, high *Turicibacter*, uncl. *Clostridiales*, and uncl. *Ruminococcaceae* abundances may indicate a stiffened and inflexible fecal microbiome with static dispersions and high alpha-diversity. This was detected in the present study in heavy animals, with impaired energy metabolism (e.g., low blood glucose and high TG, NEFA, and BH$/\), lowered milk quality (LogSCC, fat, protein), and high blood TML concentrations, the precursor of carnitine (37). C-Bi was observed to have significantly less TML but the highest carnitine and gBB concentrations and vice versa for C-Spiro, therefore suggesting that C-Spiro covered carnitine demands via TML upregulation. Both TML and gBB are known to be involved in cardiovascular diseases (26, 38). The significantly higher concentration of blood carnitine and gBB in C-Bi animals might be due to an unbalanced CAR:CON animal ratio (7-4), as significantly higher concentrations were observed previously in the same CAR animals (16).

The fecal microbiome of C-Bi animals showed an increase in *Bifidobacterium* at 3 days postpartum. Other studies on the development of the calf microbiome showed concurrent enrichment with *Bifidobacterium* during the first days after birth (39, 40). The trigger for this common development is unknown. Increased involvement in amino acid traits and high milk protein concentrations may indicate that C-Bi animals possibly provide their calves with higher amounts of prebiotic glycoproteins and possibly even intact probiotic bifidobacteria via the entero-mammalian pathway (41, 42). Milk glycoproteins promote *Bifidobacterium* in the infant’s gut, which increases fecal acetate production in human infants (43). An appropriate acetate increase was confirmed in the present study and during high *Bifidobacterium* abundance in C-Bi animals. Cows with a microbiome low in *Bifidobacterium*, as well as the conventional premature separation of the calf from the cow, may pose poor initial conditions for the calf and, as data suggest, for the dam at the onset of lactation. To confirm this connection between bifidobacterial strains and *Bifidobacterium*-promoting and/or derived metabolites, a study up to a minimum of 7 days postpartum should be conducted to examine both calves and dams. Bifidobacteria are the first settlers in the calf’s intestine and produce bacteriocins (44), which may protect against an explosive or adverse establishment of pathogenic bacteria, which bear the risk of diarrhea, the most common cause of early deaths in calf...
Bifidobacteria may instead allow a controlled settlement of this bare and sensitive niche in newborns. The same is true for the dam, as bifidobacteria may have protective effects against Enterobacteriaceae, obesity during gestation, and ketosis, as observed in women (47). Largely negative associations of Bifidobacterium with other bacteria were found in the C-Bifi and C-Clos animals. This may indicate their ability to modulate the microbial community composition in later stages of the cow’s life, possibly via bacteriocin expression. In the present study, animals with lower body weights had higher abundances of Bifidobacterium, which has recently been found in human enterotype studies (48). Furthermore, C-Bifi had negative third-level correlations with uncl. Gammaproteobacteria compared to the other two clusters. C-Bifi individuals increased BCS and BW just before calving, to almost the same weight at day – 7 as both other clusters. This indicates the growth of the fetus in C-Bifi animals, rather than an increase in body mass, which was different in C-Spiro animals. The latter struggled with higher blood TG and NEFA levels at low blood glucose levels compared to C-Bifi animals, which suggests a higher fat mobilization, possibly due to a negative energy balance (5). NEFA contribute to milk fat and energy synthesis via \( \beta \)-oxidation in the liver (5). As milk fat was significantly lower in C-Spiro animals than in C-Bifi animals, NEFA might have largely gone into liver \( \beta \)-oxidation rather than milk fat production. This might have resulted in a higher risk of developing milk fat depression, ketosis, and fatty liver disease in highly productive and "efficient" animals, according to negative REI values (5, 35).

A negative REI has been a breeding target for decades, aiming for highly energy-efficient animals defined by low feed intakes with high milk yields and therefore high profitability (24, 49), yet at high body mass mobilization. Negative REI values and other breeding targets, such as high milk yields with low SCC, were found within the static C-Spiro cluster animals, and herein assorted animals would be labeled as “efficient.” C-Bifi animals, in turn, would be labeled as “inefficient,” due to largely positive REI values (50, 51). However, C-Bifi individuals recovered faster from LPS injection, indicated by an earlier restart of SCFA production, quicker fever recovery (not significant), higher blood glucose levels, and higher milk fat and protein levels, with lower BCS, BW, and average days of illness. Hence, the dilemma of modern dairy cows can be addressed by these findings and should be further elucidated by large cohort studies. Data suggest that grouping dairy cows as “inefficient” and “efficient” according to the REI value needs to be rethought, as “inefficient” animals seem to be those with better fitness. Therefore, breeding positive-REI dairy cows may have unbeneﬁcial outcomes for the cow’s fitness in the long run.

Previous studies have observed enriched enzymes for protein digestion and amino acid biosynthesis in the rumen samples of inefficient animals (51). A similar trend was observed in the C-Bifi animals. However, higher fecal excretion of amino acids points toward the higher environmental pollution potential of C-Bifi animals.

Increased Bifidobacteriaceae and a largely negative correlation with other families (e.g., Ruminococcaceae) have been previously observed in fecal samples of high-RFI steers, which together with no significant difference in fecal SCFA concentrations between the groups is in accordance with the present data (24). The above-mentioned attributes indicate that higher abundances of Bifidobacterium in the large intestine of ruminants might keep the total microbiome more flexible and, hence, with quicker reactions to challenging environmental changes than animals with a more static microbiome.

How is it possible that highly synchronized animals (e.g., parents, diet, reproductive cycle, environment) develop different community structures that have beneficial or harmful health and physiological outcomes? In addition to the supplementation, which did not show strong effects, the trial animals varied by their trial animal history of participation in multiple short- and long-term studies, such as studies of milk replacers, antibiotics, or fungal infestation of feed on the cow's physiology. These studies were performed at all life stages of a dairy cow: as a calf or a mature cow and during gestation, lactation, and rearing. Bifidobacteria and possibly other bacteria are highly
sensitive to antibiotics, and long-lasting impacts have been documented, mainly in humans (52, 53). It is suggested that the identified microbiome clusters may reflect long-term and cumulative effects on manipulated intestinal conditions due to multiple previous trials. As the latest studies discuss host genetic influences on intestinal microbial compositions, it may also be possible that clusters genetically mirror more similar groups. For example, host genetic effects on rumen bacteria have been observed for *Bifidobacterium* and *Proteobacteria* (54).

The enterotype approach has been discussed critically (19). In the present study, the calculation of the microbiome clusters resulted in a loss of taxonomic information, as an average of all genera per cow was used to obtain a robust cluster formation. Accepting this, the study proves that the power of this analysis lies in its integrative association with microbiome, metabolite, health, and milk production data and thus has the strength to concatenate blind ends of existing knowledge in dairy cow science.

This long-term study uncovered three distinct microbiome clusters linked to different characteristics of the animal’s physical conditions and body parameters. Each microbiome cluster coped differently with a challenging calving period and an LPS-induced inflammatory stimulus. They differed significantly in their bacterial dynamics, composition and diversity indexes, health status, body mass, milk, and blood parameters. The same diet and housing resulted in different community structure outcomes, showing that it is not only the feed itself that matters but also what the cow terriers. The same diet and housing resulted in different community structure outcomes, showing that it is not only the feed itself that matters but also what the cow’s individual microbiome makes out of it. It would be of great interest if cows from regular farms also showed microbiome clusters and if breeding cows with fewer health issues and positive REI values would sooner or later result in herds and animals with higher *Bifidobacterium* abundances.

The study demonstrated that it might not be the stable microbiome that animal husbandry should aim for but, rather, dairy cows with a more dynamic microbiome that might be more robust by responding quicker to environmental changes. In the future, the importance of *Bifidobacterium* in lactating dairy cows should be as intensively studied as it is in calves, as this study proved the positive effects of a higher fecal abundance of *Bifidobacterium*. Preserving this bifidobacterial community might be a long-term goal, which may yield rich benefits for animal husbandry.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animal experiment and sampling.** This study is part of the cooperative project “Mitochondrial functionality in dairy cows” (MitoCow) funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG), including 54 multiparous Holstein Frisian dairy cows ranging between 3 and 7 years of age and grouped into a control (CON; n = 30) and a carnitine-supplemented (CAR; n = 24) herd. Detailed dietary and nutritional composition and study approaches for the calving period are described by Meyer et al. (16) and for the LPS challenge by Meyer et al. (17). In short, 80% roughage and 20% of concentrate were fed until calving (day 0) and contained the supplements in the concentrate feed. Until day 14 postpartum (pp, time point +14), concentrate amounts were gradually increased up to a ratio of 50:50. This regimen was continued until the end of the trial. Roughage comprised 70% maize silage and 30% grass silage; water was offered *ad libitum*. Samples were taken regularly at 7 a.m. after milking at seven time points, between 42 days antepartum (ap, +42) and 126 days pp (Fig. 1) as well as at 12, 24, and 72 h after the calving (hC) and the LPS challenge (hL). This resulted in 13 sampling time points per cow. Calving functioned as an individual, and the LPS challenge functioned at 111 days postpartum (pp, +111) as a standardized stimulus. At this time point, cows are suggested to be out of negative energy balance, which could interfere with the LPS challenge. Before the LPS injection, the animals were examined by veterinarians in order to confirm their state of health. Each cow received 0.5 μg LPS/kg of body weight, which was applied via the jugular vein to provoke an inflammatory challenge. The cows were headlocked at the feeding table during regular sampling and greatly sampled unlocked during the challenges, to reduce stress. Defecation was awaited, and the feces were collected manually before falling to the ground, using long, disposable gloves and aluminum dishes for temporal storage. The fecal heap was then sampled at three different spots for randomization reasons using a sterile metal spoon and avoiding the top layer due to excessive oxygen exposure. A total of 626 fecal samples were stored at −80°C, and not all samples were included in all analysis. Blood was collected from the external jugular vein by needle puncture or by indwelling catheters for the frequent sampling during the challenges as described by Meyer et al. (17).

**Bacterial DNA extraction and amplification for Illumina sequencing.** Microbial DNA of 616 fecal samples was extracted using the FastDNA spin kit for soil (MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH, USA) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions with minimal changes (55). DNA quantity and quality were measured using NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and subsequently, the DNA...
extracts were stored at −20°C. The V1-2 region of the 16S rRNA gene was targeted to construct an amplicon sequencing library amplified using a two-step PCR approach, similar to that described by Kaevatapae et al. (56). During the first and second PCR, barcodes and indexes were attached to the amplicons using Takara PrimerStar HS DNA polymerase (Takara Bio USA, Inc.) (56). PCR amplicons were verified by agarose gel electrophoresis and normalized using the SeqPrep normalization kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Samples, in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions, were pooled and purified with a MinElute PCR purification kit (Qiagen). The final DNA concentration was measured using a Qubit 2.0 fluorometer (Invitrogen) and a Quantifluor double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) system (Promega). Samples were sequenced by 250-bp paired-end sequencing on an Illumina MiSeq.

**Sequencing data analysis and taxonomic assignment.** QIIME v.2019 (http://qiime2.org) was used to analyze the obtained raw sequences (57). The default parameters of the pipeline were used to perform quality filtering, trimming, and demultiplexing, resulting in a maximum sequence length of 360 bp. The subsequent data set was dereplicated and denoised, chimeras were removed, and data were merged through DADA2 (58). A prefitted sklearn-based classifier (59) was used for taxonomy assignation, equipping the SILVA database (release 132) (https://www.arb-silva.de/) (60). Filtration of 11,187,005 reads and 19,409 operational taxonomic units (OTU) (97% identity) was performed by cutting those appearing only once across 616 fecal samples (countif = 1), and that summed up in total 2 to 10 counts with a maximum of ≤ 1,000 reads across all samples (maximum = 2 to 10). Those OTUs appearing in only 11 to 20 samples with ≤ 100 reads were deleted as well. Data filtering resulted in 9,437,285 total reads, grouped into 3,921 OTUs with an average of 15,941 ± 367 reads per sample. The Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) seqmatch tool was used to identify the closest representative of each OTU (61, 62). Subsequently, the taxonomy levels were assigned by following the threshold cutoff values of Yarza et al. (63). The blastn tool of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) was used to specify the uncl. *Firmicutes* and uncl. *Spirochaetaceae* genera for the microbiome cluster analysis.

**Microbiome cluster analysis.** Sequence data of the samples were clustered based on the mean relative genus abundance of 581 samples representing 54 animals (CON, 30; CAR, 24). The mean was calculated based on all samples per individual (≤ 13 samples) to detect global information of the genera contributing to the respective microbiome clusters during a defined production lifespan in dairy cows. This resulted in one set of abundance data across all genera per cow. Microbiome clusters among the animals were identified as formerly described by Arumugam et al. (14) (https://enterotype.embl.de), including the unclassified taxa. Briefly, a Jensen-Shannon divergence matrix was calculated based on the genus-relative abundance using R v.3.6.1 and the “tidyverse” package (64). Then, the partitioning around medoids clustering algorithm was done with “cluster” (65), and the optimal number of clusters, resulting in three clusters, was assessed using the Calinski-Harabasz index and the Elbow method using “clusterSim” (66) and “factoextra” (67). Finally, “ade4” was used to performed a principal-component analysis (PCoA) of the data and visually explore the clusters (68).

**qPCR.** Nine time points (−42, 12hC, 24hC, 72hC, +14, +100, 12hL, 72hL, and +126) and 11 animals per cluster were randomly selected for quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis, using the above-mentioned DNA extracts, with a total of 287 samples. By following the principles of Lengowski et al. (69), a pooled DNA sample was used as a “sample-derived DNA standard,” confirming the DNA load using Qubit and Nanodrop. Primer pair products were tested on this pooled DNA standard, but confirming a conventional PCR. According to the method described by Lee et al. (70), a 10-fold serial dilution series of each PCR product with six dilutions was used for generating standard curves. For qPCR, two replicates per sample, two negatives, and three replicates of the standard were run on every plate using a CFX real-time PCR instrument (Bio-Rad). Quantification of bacterial copy numbers was done using primers 338F–5′-ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAG and 805R 5′-GACTACCAGGGTATCTAATCC with a product length of 468 bp. The PCR mix contained 160 nM each primer, 2.3 mM MgCl2, 3.2% bovine serum albumin (BSA) (1 mg/1 ml), 1× GoTaq qPCR polymerase mix (Promega), and 1 μl of template undiluted DNA. The following conditions were applied to the samples: initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min, 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C (15 s), annealing at 50°C (20 s), followed by 60°C for 15 s (two-step qPCR), and a final elongation at 72°C for 1 min. Thereafter, melting curves were measured with slow heating from 65°C for 5 s to 95°C in 0.5-degree steps. Copy numbers of *Bifidobacterium* were determined using a PCR mixture of 200 nM each primer (Bifido_5 GATTCGGCTCAGGATGAACGC, Bifido_3 CTGATAGGACGCGACCCCAT) (71), 1× GoTaq qPCR polymerase mix (Promega), and 1 μl of 1:10-diluted DNA template, resulting in a product length of 236 bp. Cycle conditions were equivalent to those for total bacteria; however, after denaturation, an annealing step at 60°C for 1 min was used (one-step qPCR). Total copy numbers per sample were calculated using the standard curves.

**Functional prediction.** Amplicon data of seven time points (−42, 12hC, 72hC, +100, 12hL, 72hL, +126) chosen in accordance with the fecal metabolite analyses (275 samples and 47 animals) were used to perform a functional prediction of the fecal microbiome using CoviPI and PICRUSt in Galaxy as described by Wilkinson et al. (72).

**SCFA measurement.** From 610 thawed samples, three aliquots per sample were taken, each weighing 0.4 g. Samples were homogenized, acidified with sulfuric acid (H2SO4), and supplemented with 80 mM 2-methylvaleric acid in 50% formic acid as an internal standard. The samples were frozen in an Erlenmeyer flask and incubated using a −30°C ethanol bath under continuous movement. The undissociated fatty acids were distilled with liquid nitrogen under vacuum, and 1 ml of distillate sample was used for the determination of acetate (C1), propionic (C3), butyric (C4), isobutyric (C4I), valeric (C5), and isovaleric (C5I) acid. For the analysis of short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) in the fecal samples, a gas chromatograph (GC) (Hewlett-Packard 6890; Agilent) connected to a fused silica capillary column (HP-FFAP; 25 m
by 0.32 mm with a film thickness of 0.5 μm; HP 7683; Agilent) and a flame ionization detector (GC-FID) as described by Wüscher et al. (73) was used.

**Metabolomic analysis.** Targeted measurements of metabolites were performed using 293 fecal samples from a subset of 7 time points (−4 to 12 hC, 72 hC, +100, 12 hL, 72 hL, +126; samples per time point for 39 to 45 animals). Metabolite extraction was done as suggested by Biocrates Life Science AG using a buffer with a high extraction efficiency for amino acids, biogenic amines, acylcarnitines, and hexoses. The buffer comprised 80 ml ethanol (Supelco, LiChrosolv) and 320 ml phosphate buffer (20 mM; Sigma P5244; 0.1 M, pH 7.5 at 25°C) (vol/vol). Two hundred milligrams of thawed sample was mixed on ice with 600 μl of buffer B on a shaker at 200 rpm for 30 min, followed by a centrifugation step for 15 s at 19,000 g. Thereafter, samples were tip sonicated on ice for 5 min at 100% amplitude and at 0.5 duty cycle (Ultrasonic UP50H processor with MS1 sonotrode; Hielscher, Germany). Cell debris, feed, and other particles were precipitated by centrifugation at 800 g for 10 min and at 2°C. The supernatant was centrifuged at 19,000 g for 10 min and 2°C. The clean supernatant was stored at −80°C until measurements within the days after processing were done. Fecal samples were further treated in accordance with the manufacturer’s manual for blood plasma samples. Target metabolomics measurements were done using an AbsoluteIDQ p180Kit (Biocrates Life Science AG, Innsbruck, Austria) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Quantified metabolites (188) included amino acids (21), biogenic amines (21), hexoses (1), acylcarnitines (40), glycerophospholipids (90), and sphingomyelins (15). The first two groups of metabolites were measured using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). All other metabolites were analyzed using a flow injection analysis measurement (FIA)-MS/MS equipping a Scieq 4000 QTRAP (Scieq, Darmstadt, Germany) or Xevo TQ-S Micro (Waters, Vienna, Austria) machine combined with electrospray ionization (ESI). The metabolite measurement was described in detail before (74) and was used with the following adjustments: quantification of the biogenic amines was improved by adding the calibration standard 0.25 to the calibration standard curve. The incubation time with phenyl isothiocyanate was extended by 5 min for improved derivatization of the samples. A nitrogen pressure unit was used to elute the extraction solvent. Then, 50 μl was removed from the filtrate, transferred to a fresh multiwell plate, and diluted with 450 μl of 40% HPLC-grade methanol for LC-MS analysis. For FIA-MS/MS analysis, 10 μl from the filtrate and 490 μl of the mobile-phase solvent were added to a new multiwell plate.

**Blood, health, and milk production parameters.** Heparinized blood samples were analyzed immediately after sampling using a GEM Premier 400 blood gas analyzer (Werfen, Kirchheim, Germany) as previously described by Meyer et al. (16). Total blood cell counts were determined in EDTA blood samples using an automated hematology analyzer (Celltac-α MEK 6450; Nihon Kohden Corporation, Japan). Blood metabolites (nonesterified fatty acids, triglycerides, glucose, beta-hydroxybutyrate) were determined in serum samples by using an automatic clinical chemistry analyzer (Eurolyser CCA 180; Eurolyser Diagnostica GmbH, Salzburg, Austria) (15). Residual energy intake (REI), milk parameters, body weights, and daily visual health examinations by the same veterinarian were recorded and recently published (16, 17). The average number of sick days per sick cow was calculated, ignoring the quantity of multiple health issues at 1 day per individual.

**Statistical analysis of sequencing data.** The total number of reads per sample was standardized by the total. The Bray-Curtis similarity coefficient (75) was used to calculate and visualize similarity matrices and intersample similarity plots (principal-coordinate analysis [PCoA] plots) using PRIMER-E 6 (Plymouth Marine Laboratory, UK) (76). The alpha-diversity and animal’s microbial flexibility over time were evaluated using the Shannon diversity index and multivariate dispersion indices (MVDISP). The average MVDISP across all animals functioned as a separator between “dynamic” (MVDISP > 1.000) and “static” (MVDISP ≤ 1.000) individuals (see Data Set 3 at https://github.com/SebasSaenz/Troscher-Mussotter_Cow-enterotypes_2021/tree/main/Datatsets). Global R and P values were generated using one-way analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) and permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA). PERMANOVA (permutations = 9,999) was used to test for significance of time point, age, MVDISP, microbiome cluster, and supplementation. The similarity percentages (SIMPER) tool was applied to find the main contributors of differences between groups. Data distribution was analyzed using the Shapiro test in JMP Pro 15.2.1 software (SAS Institute, NC, USA). A mixed model analysis was used to evaluate the differences between the predicted functional pathways (CowPI), and animals were included as a random effect nested by the microbiome clusters (27S samples representing 47 animals). Briefly, R (v.4.0.2) and tidyverse (64) were used to transform and arrange the data. Additionally, the linear mixed-effects model was calculated with ime4 (77), and the differences between each cluster per amino acid were found using ImerTest (78). Copy numbers of *Bifidobacterium* and total bacteria were obtained by qPCR. The Shapiro test in JMP Pro 15.2.1 software was used to test the normality of distribution of bacteria and metabolites, and the Wilcoxon/Kruskal-Wallis test was used to test for significance. The same software and herein the Bivariate Fit tool were used to draw regression slopes for the calving period (−42 to 72hC) and the LPS challenge (± 100 to 72hL), in order to evaluate how strongly each microbiome cluster decreased in alpha-diversity.

**Statistics of metabolomics data.** The Biocrates metabolite data were normalized by using the target value of the mean of quality control 2. JMP Pro 15.2.1 software was used to create graphs and to confirm the nonnormal distribution of metabolites, including SCFA using the Shapiro test. As JMP Pro does not require deletion of values below the detection level, 293 samples and 188 Biocrates-derived metabolites were included. The Wilcoxon/Kruskal-Wallis test was used for evaluation of significance. PERMANOVA and ANOSIM analyses of the metabolomics data set were done using PRIMER-E 6. Working with the Biocrates metabolites in PRIMER-E 6 required removal of samples which included values below...
the detection level, resulting in 177 fecal metabolites and 275 samples from 47 animals (CAR: CON = 22:25).

**Correlation analyses.** Circular correlation networks on genera across microbiome clusters included 591 samples and were drawn from nonparametric Spearman’s multivariate methods, using JMP Pro 15.2.1 software. Only significant ($P < 0.006$) and high correlations ($|r| > 0.2$) between genera were considered. Third-level genera were restricted to an $|r|$ of $>0.5$.

The linear mixed-model correlations on genera and fecal metabolites included 275 samples from 47 animals and were corrected by age, L-carnitine supplementation, and time point. Animals were considered a random effect, and only significant correlation metabolites were included in the figure (false discovery rate [FDR]-corrected $P < 0.05$). The model was calculated with imem (77).

**Data and software availability.** Finally, the sequences were submitted to the European Nucleotide Archive under accession number PRJEB44871. The raw metabolomics data sets are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. Analyzed data are provided as Data Sets S1 to S8 at https://github.com/SebasSaenz/Troscher-Mussotter_Cow-enterotypes_2021/tree/main/Datatsets. Detailed information on data analyses and codes used are provided at https://github.com/SebasSaenz/Troscher-Mussotter_Cow-enterotypes_2021.
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