Genomewide Analysis of Mode of Action of the S-Adenosylmethionine Analogue Sinefungin in Leishmania infantum
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ABSTRACT To further our understanding of one-carbon metabolism in the protozoan parasite Leishmania, we conducted genomic screens to study how the parasite responded to sinefungin (SNF) selection. SNF is a structural analogue of S-adenosylmethionine (AdoMet), a key methyl group donor to a number of biomolecules. One screen consisted of sequencing SNF-resistant mutants generated by stepwise selection with gradually increasing drug concentrations. These studies demonstrated deletion of the AdoMet transporter (AdoMetT) by intergenic recombination as a crucial loss-of-function marker for SNF resistance. The second screen consisted of Cos-seq, a gain-of-function cosmid-based genomewide functional screen with increasing SNF concentration coupled to next-generation sequencing. Cosmids enriched in that screen and sequenced led to the identification of (i) the AdoMet synthetase (METK) as the major SNF target, (ii) an mRNA [(guanine-N7)-methyltransferase (CMT1)], (iii) a leucine carboxyl methyltransferase (LCMT), (iv) two tryparedoxin genes, and (v) two protein phosphatase regulatory genes. Further functional exploration indicated that LCMT interacts with one phosphatase catalytic subunit (PP2AC) and that mutation of the C-terminal leucine residue of PP2AC affects sinefungin susceptibility. These holistic screens led to the identification of transporters, biosynthetic genes, RNA and protein methyltransferases, as well as phosphatases linked to AdoMet-mediated functions in Leishmania.

IMPORTANCE The two main cellular metabolic one-carbon donors are reduced folates and S-adenosylmethionine, whose biosynthetic pathways have proven highly effective in chemotherapeutic interventions in various cell types. Sinefungin, a nucleoside analogue of S-adenosylmethionine, was shown to have potent activity against the protozoan parasite Leishmania. Here, we studied resistance to sinefungin using whole-genome approaches as a way to further our understanding of the role of S-adenosylmethionine in this parasite and to reveal novel potential drug targets. These approaches allowed the characterization of novel features related to S-adenosylmethionine function in Leishmania which could further help in the development of sinefungin-like compounds against this pathogenic parasite.
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Parasites of the genus Leishmania cause a range of devastating and often fatal diseases in humans and domestic animals and affect an estimated 700,000 to 1 million people each year (1). Treatment of leishmaniasis relies primarily on chemotherapy with four drugs, namely, pentavalent antimonials, miltefosine, amphotericin B, and paromomycin. None are ideal, and alternatives are urgently needed (2).
The two main cellular metabolic one-carbon donors are reduced folates and S-adenosylmethionine (AdoMet), and inhibitors against biosynthetic genes involved in these one-carbon donor pathways have proven highly effective in chemotherapeutic interventions in various cell types. Our understanding of folate metabolism in Leishmania has emerged mostly from studies of parasites selected for resistance to the model drug methotrexate (MTX) (3, 4). Our understanding of AdoMet metabolism in Leishmania is less advanced, but a link between AdoMet and folate metabolism has been established in Leishmania. Indeed, cells resistant to MTX overexpressed S-adenosylmethionine synthetase (MetK) (5), the key enzyme in AdoMet biosynthesis, and transfection of MetK in Leishmania facilitates the emergence of high-level resistance to MTX (6).

AdoMet is involved in the methylation of lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids and is a donor of propylamine groups or methylene groups for a number of molecules, including polyamines, fatty acids, or biotin (7, 8). AdoMet, through the trans-sulfuration pathway, can be metabolized into cysteine and glutathione (GSH) and in the spermidine-glutathione conjugate trypanothione (TSH) in Leishmania (9). Overexpression of MetK was observed in antimony-resistant Leishmania, most likely because of this trans-sulfuration pathway (10). Overexpression of MetK has also been associated with other drugs in Leishmania, including allopurinol (11), and with the AdoMet analogue sinefungin (SNF) (12).

SNF, a nucleoside analogue of AdoMet, was reported to have potent antileishmanial activity both in cell culture and animals models (13–15). SNF was shown to use the same uptake system as AdoMet (16), and the AdoMet-SNF transporter of Leishmania (AdoMetT1) (17) is a member of the folate-biopterin-transporter (FBT) family (18).

Similarly to MTX resistance studies that led to an understanding of folate metabolism in Leishmania, we hypothesized that studying resistance to SNF may lead to further understanding of the role of AdoMet in this parasite and may lead to potential drug targets. Sequencing of resistant parasites is now well established as a technique for gaining insight into resistance mechanisms and modes of action of drugs (19). In addition to genome sequencing, genomewide gain-of-function screens exploiting cosmid-based functional screening coupled to next-generation sequencing (Cos-seq) has expedited the discovery of drug resistance/target genes in Leishmania (20–22). In this study, we combined the sequencing of SNF-resistant mutants with a Cos-seq screen selecting for resistance to SNF for an increased understanding of AdoMet metabolism in Leishmania. These holistic screens led to the identification of transporters, biosynthetic genes, RNA and protein methyltransferases as well as phosphatases linked to AdoMet mediated functions in Leishmania.

**RESULTS**

**Generation of sinefungin-resistant mutants by stepwise selection.** The drug sinefungin (SNF) was highly effective against Leishmania infantum promastigotes with an in vitro 50% effective concentration (EC50) of 75 nM. Four independent cultures of wild-type (WT) L. infantum parasites derived from a clone were selected stepwise in liquid medium with increasing concentrations of SNF up to 50 μM. The parasites readily adapted against the drug. The resistant lines were named LiSNFR50.1 to LiSNFR50.4. These mutants were highly resistant to SNF in comparison to the source clonal line (Fig. 1A) and seemed to have no fitness cost for in vitro growth as promastigotes (Fig. 1B). When cultured in the absence of SNF for 50 passages, the lines retained resistance against SNF, indicating that it was a stable phenotype (Fig. 1A).

**Whole-genome sequencing and identification of CNVs and SNVs.** Single clones were generated from each of the four highly resistant lines, and their genomic DNAs were sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq2500 platform. Genome coverage for the WT strain and the SNF-resistant mutants varied from 30- to 70-fold (see Fig. S1A in the supplemental material). Read depth coverage over the 36 chromosomes of L. infantum was studied to predict copy number variations (CNVs). Variation in ploidy was observed for specific mutants for chromosomes 10, 21, 31, and 32 but with no common trends...
One major CNV was detected in all four resistant mutants; this CNV corresponded to a 5-kb deletion in chromosome 10 (Fig. 2A). LiSNFR50.2 differs, however, from the other three mutants. Indeed, while the number of sequence reads is also smaller in this mutant, it is not nil. This could be explained by the heterogeneity of the population with different copy numbers of this region of chromosome 10 that is emerging upon drug selection and passages. The deleted region comprises genes coding for FBT proteins, including the AdoMet transporter (LinJ.10.0370) (Fig. 2A). The deletion of AdoMetT1 was confirmed by Southern blotting using an AdoMetT1-specific probe (Fig. S2A and S2B). Genomic DNAs of Leishmania parasites were digested with

(Fig. S1B). One major CNV was detected in all four resistant mutants; this CNV corresponded to a 5-kb deletion in chromosome 10 (Fig. 2A). LiSNFR50.2 differs, however, from the other three mutants. Indeed, while the number of sequence reads is also smaller in this mutant, it is not nil. This could be explained by the heterogeneity of the population with different copy numbers of this region of chromosome 10 that is emerging upon drug selection and passages. The deleted region comprises genes coding for FBT proteins, including the AdoMet transporter (LinJ.10.0370) (Fig. 2A). The deletion of AdoMetT1 was confirmed by Southern blotting using an AdoMetT1-specific probe (Fig. S2A and S2B). Genomic DNAs of Leishmania parasites were digested with
NheI, and a 2.1-kb band hybridized with the AdoMetT1 probe in WT cells (Fig. S2B, lane 1) but not in LiSNFR50.1, LiSNFR50.3, or in a resistant cell grown without SNF for several passages (Fig. S2B, lanes 2 to 4). The AdoMetT1 gene was cloned and transfected in LinSNFR50.1 and LiSNFR50.3 which resulted in a complete reversion of the resistance phenotype (Fig. 1C). Several folate-biopterin-transporter (FBT) genes, including AdoMetT1, are located in tandem within a 37-kb region of chromosome 10. These genes possess high level of sequence identities (18, 23). The deletion is characterized by the absence of sequencings reads between nucleotides /H11011151kb and /H11011156kb on chromosome 10 and is flanked by the gene LinJ.10.0380 on its right side (Fig. 2A). We found that recombination occurred within an identical 720-bp region shared between LinJ.10.0370 (AdoMetT1) and LinJ.10.0380 (Fig. 2A). Primers were designed to map this rearrangement, and PCR indeed confirmed that the recombination site occurs within this 720-bp region (Fig. S2C and S2D). Sequencing of the rearranged gene further supported the proposed rearrangement (Fig. S3). The AdoMetT1 rearrangement dynamics was further studied using SNF exposure. Using PCR, we monitored the recombination event between LinJ.10.0370 (AdoMetT1) and LinJ.10.0380 and the concomitant disappearance of the AdoMetT1-specific amplification. The recombined product started appearing at 2µM (32× EC50) and dominated at higher levels of SNF, with the parallel disappearance of the AdoMetT1 PCR band (Fig. 2B).

The sequence reads were further analyzed for the presence of single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) as previously described (24). Few coding SNVs were detected, and those passing our filtering criteria (see Materials and Methods) were mostly for genes coding for surface proteins, kinesin, or duplicated hypothetical proteins (Table S1), a phenomenon frequently observed in genomics studies on Leishmania (19). These were not analyzed further.

Leishmania parasites can both transport and synthesize AdoMet. Since the lack of AdoMetT1 might affect several important methylation events in the cell, we examined the expression of four SAM-metabolizing enzymes in our SNF-resistant parasites. The genes coding for AdoMet synthetase (MetK) (LinJ.30.3560) and for cobalamin-dependent methionine synthase (CoMS) (LinJ.07.0240) were upregulated in LiSNFR50.1 by 2.5-fold and 2-fold, respectively, but expression of the mitochondrial methionine synthase reductase (MMSR) (LinJ.36.4950) and AdoMet hydrolase (SAH) (LinJ.36.4100) were not significantly altered (Fig. 3A). The episomal expression of AdoMetT1 did not revert the upregulation of MetK. Quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) revealed alteration of AdoMetT1 mRNA abundance between the growth phases, attaining maximum at stationary phase (Fig. 3B), and interestingly, in L. infantum promastigotes, SNF responsiveness was determined to be dependent on the growth phase of the inoculum as reflected by the two- to threefold variation in EC50 values between early log and stationary phase (Fig. 3C).

**FIG 3** Expression of genes related to AdoMet metabolism and transport. (A) Expression of MetK, cobalamine-dependent methionine synthase (CoDMS), mitochondrial methionine synthase reductase (MMSR), and S-adenosylmethionine hydrolase (SAH) were measured in LiSNFR50.1 and compared to WT cells as determined by qRT-PCR. Expression was quantified relative to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). (B) Expression of AdoMetT1 as measured by qRT-PCR during early (white bar), late logarithmic (gray bar), and stationary (black bar) phases of growth. Expression was quantified relative to GAPDH. (C) Response of Leishmania promastigotes to SNF pressure at early log (●), late log (○), and stationary (▲) phases of growth. All data are means plus SEM for three independent replicates. Statistical analyses were performed using paired (A and B) and unpaired (C) two-tailed t tests. Statistical significance is indicated as follows: ***, P < 0.01; *, P < 0.05; ns, not significant.
Cos-seq reveals targets for sinefungin along with the diversity of AdoMet function in *Leishmania*. *L. infantum* parasites transfected with a cosmid genomic library were selected with SNF at increasing concentrations in biological duplicates. The parasites adapted to each passage and reached stationary phase within 5 days of selection for all doses. Since the parasites showed rapid adaptation to drug selection, cosmids enriched at 4×, 16×, 32×, and 64× EC₅₀ selections were sequenced and analyzed. Using a cutoff 16-fold enrichment compared to a similarly cultured but untreated control population, cosmid enrichments of genomic loci derived from chromosomes 15, 28, 29, 30, 31, and 36 were identified, with the highest enrichment being for a locus on chromosome 30 (Fig. 4A to C and Fig. S4). Visualization of cosmid abundance revealed gradual enrichment for each of these, with maximal enrichment occurring at drug selection equivalent to 64× EC₅₀ (Fig. 4B). Each enriched cosmid is coding between 8 and 14 genes (Fig. 4C).

Functional validation of enriched cosmids. Cosmids isolated from the 64× selection and containing genes derived from chromosomes 15, 28, 30, 31, and 36 were transformed in *Escherichia coli* and transfected back in *L. infantum*. Compared to mock-transfected parasites, parasites transfected with the cosmid from chromosome 30 displayed 7.6-fold-higher resistance against SNF, while those with cosmids from chromosomes 31, 36, 15, and 28 showed 4.7-fold, 4.3-fold, 2.9-fold, and 2.8-fold-higher resistance, respectively (Table 1). Individual genes were then overexpressed to pinpoint the specific genes responsible for SNF resistance. Genes with annotated functions were prioritized over hypothetical protein-coding genes. The genes LinJ.30.3560 and LinJ.30.3580 coding for AdoMet synthetase (MetK) conferred sixfold-higher resistance compared to parasite bearing empty vectors, in line with a previous study (12). Two genes located on the cosmid derived from chromosome 36 could be associated with SNF resistance. LinJ.36.0130, coding for the mRNA cap-(guanine-N7)-methyltransferase (CMT1) conferred twofold-higher resistance against SNF (Table 1). The second gene from chromosome 36 was a leucine carboxyl methyltransferase (LCMT) (LinJ.36.0090), which also bestowed twofold-higher resistance against SNF when expressed episomally in WT cells (Table 1). LinJ.31.2000 (TXN3) and LinJ.31.2010 (TXN4), two trypanexodin-like protein-coding genes, conferred 1.7-fold-higher resistance. Although we could not recover the cosmid derived from chromosome 29, one of its genes encoding a protein phosphatase inhibitor 2 (IPP2) displayed 2.7-fold-higher resistance to SNF when overexpressed in WT parasites (Table 1).

At least three gene products isolated from the Cos-seq screen, namely, MetK, CMT1, and LCMT, contain AdoMet binding sites as determined by molecular docking modeling of SNF and AdoMet with these targets. Docking of MetK revealed a binding affinity for SNF of −37.16 kcal/mol. It formed 11 hydrogen bonds (H-bonds) and hydrophobic interactions (Fig. 5). The binding site is similar to the docking site of AdoMet with at least two conserved H-bonds (Q184 and Y326) (Fig. S5). CMT1 showed similar binding affinity for SNF (−38.05 kcal/mol) with at least 13 potential H-bond and hydrophobic interactions. A binding affinity of −35.01 kcal/mol was showed by LCMT with SNF binding with at least eight H-bonds and hydrophobic interactions (Fig. 5). Binding of AdoMet by CMT1 and LCMT shares several conserved interactions as observed for SNF (Fig. S5).

Leucine carboxyl methyltransferase and sinefungin. The role of leucine carboxyl methyltransferase (LCMT) is to methylate the carboxyl group of C-terminal leucine residues of proteins. More than 10% of total proteins in *L. infantum* contain leucine at their C termini (Fig. S6A). Multiple alignment with bacterial and higher eukaryotic orthologs of LCMT demonstrated that LCMT from *L. infantum* and *Trypanosoma brucei* shares significant amount of conservation (Fig. S6B), although the kinetoplastid LCMTs cluster distantly from eukaryotic LCMTs (Fig. S6C). The LCMT gene was inactivated by integrating neomycin and puromycin resistance cassettes using a CRISPR-Cas9-based approach (21) in *L. infantum* (Fig. 6A). The knockout was confirmed by Southern blotting (Fig. 6B) and by PCR using open reading frame (ORF)-specific and untranslated...
FIG 4  Identification of SNF-responsive loci using a Cos-seq screen with SNF. (A) Visualization of five representative SNF-enriched loci on chromosomes 15, 28, 29, 30, 31, and 36 as delimited by regions of higher read density. A cosmid/region from chromosome 29 that was also enriched in untreated (Continued on next page)
region (UTR)-specific primers (Fig. S7A). Although LCMT<sup>−/−</sup> cells grew well in SDM medium, the growth in log phase was found to be impaired compared to WT (Cas9) cells (Fig. 6C). The phenotype was reverted by episomal expression of LCMT (Fig. 6C). LCMT<sup>−/−</sup> cells were unexpectedly four- to fivefold more resistant to SNF (Fig. 6D). The resistance was abolished when LCMT was expressed episomal in LCMT<sup>−/−</sup> cells (Fig. 6D). This is in contrast to the Cos-seq screen, where LCMT episomal overexpression led to resistance. This apparent dichotomy may be explained by the role of LCMT during growth phase. Indeed, while LCMT<sup>−/−</sup> cells are resistant to SNF both in log and stationary phase, cells expressing LCMT as part of an episome displayed maximum resistance in early log phase, and the resistance is gradually lost in late-log and stationary-phase promastigotes (Fig. S7B).

In eukaryotes, LCMT is known to methylate and regulate PP2A catalytic subunit (PP2AC) and related protein phosphatases (25). Intriguingly, cosmids derived from chromosomes 15 and 29 isolated from the Cos-seq screen carry genes that encode regulators of phosphatase. In order to determine whether PP2AC and LCMT do interact, a C-terminal hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged version of LCMT was cotransfected with a N-terminal Ty1-tagged PP2AC in LCMT<sup>−/−</sup> parasites. Immunoprecipitation with anti-HA antibody followed by Western blotting with anti-Ty1 antibody confirmed that LCMT interacts with PP2AC (Fig. 7A). To further investigate the LCMT-PP2AC interactions, we carried out high-ambiguity-driven protein-protein docking (26) with quality models of the *Leishmania* LCMT and PP2AC. The model for the *Leishmania* predicted complex structure exhibited similarity in orientation and organization with crystal structure of the human LCMT1 and PP2AC complex with the C-terminal leucine of PP2AC buried inside LCMT for both structures (Fig. S8). Analysis of the interaction interface and stabilization energy revealed that both the proteins have considerable continuous patches of interaction with stabilization energy of \(-661.6545\) kJ/mol, indicating strong

**FIG 4 Legend (Continued)**

populations through passages is indicated by a white asterisk. (B) Plots of gene clusters sharing similar enrichment profiles. The plots include genes from the five representative SNF-enriched loci recovered by gradual SNF selection. Gray lines represent individual genes, and blue lines denote the average profile per cluster. Gene abundance is expressed on the y axis as log, transformed fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM) values centered on the median FPKM. Samples are ordered on the abscissa according to the selection procedure, from nontreated samples (0×) to the final drug increment (64× EC<sub>50</sub>). Gene abundance profiles for the two biological replicates are shown. "Staircase" patterns are due to differences in gene abundance at baseline between the replicates. (C) Maximal fold enrichment for genes from the five enriched cosmids depicted in panel A normalized to the drug-free control. In all cases, maximal enrichment occurred at SNF concentration equivalent to 64× EC<sub>50</sub>.  

---

**TABLE 1** Functional validation of cosmids and genes for their resistance against SNF<sup>a</sup>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>Cosmid start position</th>
<th>Cosmid end position</th>
<th>Fold resistance for cosmid&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Resistance gene on cosmid</th>
<th>Gene product</th>
<th>Fold resistance for gene&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>373198</td>
<td>408034</td>
<td>2.91 ± 0.38*** (n = 3)</td>
<td>LinJ.15.0980</td>
<td>PP2AR</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.13** (n = 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1266355</td>
<td>1301597</td>
<td>7.60 ± 1.98*** (n = 6)</td>
<td>LinJ.30.3560</td>
<td>METK</td>
<td>5.99 ± 1.63*** (n = 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>929321</td>
<td>964656</td>
<td>4.72 ± 0.54*** (n = 3)</td>
<td>LinJ.31.2000</td>
<td>Trxnlk</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.11*** (n = 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>LinJ.29.0180</td>
<td>IPP2</td>
<td>2.70 ± 0.55* (n = 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>140575</td>
<td>172918</td>
<td>2.79 ± 0.45*** (n = 3)</td>
<td>LinJ.36.0090</td>
<td>LCMT</td>
<td>2.03 ± 0.17*** (n = 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>47576</td>
<td>11107</td>
<td>4.30 ± 0.47*** (n = 3)</td>
<td>LinJ.36.0130</td>
<td>CMT1</td>
<td>2.19 ± 0.40*** (n = 5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Cosmids and genes enriched by Cos-seq were functionally tested in WT cells by episomal expression and growth curve assays against SNF. ND, not determined.

<sup>b</sup>The fold resistance to SNF is expressed as the ratio of the EC<sub>50</sub>s for parasites transfected with the target gene or cosmid to the EC<sub>50</sub>s for mock-transfected parasites. Values are means ± standard deviations (SD) from at least three independent experiments. The P values were calculated by two-tailed unpaired t test and are indicated by asterisks as follows: *** P < 0.001; ** P < 0.01.
To examine whether methylolation of the C-terminal leucine of PP2AC (L308) is indeed linked to the SNF response, we independently transfected WT *L. infantum* parasites with episomes coding for WT PP2AC or for a PP2AC<sup>L308G</sup> variant in which the C-terminal leucine was mutated to a glycine residue.
The PP2ACL308G-expressing parasites elicited 1.75-fold-higher resistance compared to cells expressing the WT version of PP2AC (Fig. 7B).

DISCUSSION

SNF is a nucleoside antibiotic structurally related to AdoMet that competitively inhibits AdoMet-synthesizing and -dependent enzymes (27). The antileishmanial activity of SNF is well established (15), but the drug was not further developed due to nephrotoxicity and toxicity to bone marrow cells (28, 29). Nonetheless, work is still ongoing in developing SNF analogues with higher therapeutic indexes (29–32). This is certainly justified in light of the recent discovery that lead antitrypanosomal boron-containing compounds perturb AdoMet metabolism and seem to act similarly to SNF (33).

Whole-genome sequencing of independent resistant mutants could detect a deletion of AdoMetT1, the AdoMet transporter. This is reasonable, as SNF was previously shown to use the AdoMet transporter in *Leishmania* (16, 17). This paralleled observations made in SNF-resistant yeast or *Toxoplasma gondii* where mutations in their
AdoMet transporters were found to be the main driver of resistance (34, 35). However, in those cases, the AdoMet transporters are part of the amino acid permease superfamily, and resistance was mediated by point mutations rather than gene deletion as in our *L. infantum* SNF-resistant mutants. Our next-generation sequencing (NGS) work allowed us to precisely define the molecular mechanism of gene deletion that was mediated by homologous recombination between conserved regions of FBT genes, a frequent mechanism of gene rearrangement in *Leishmania* (36). The deletion took place in the same region between *AdoMetT1* and LinJ.10.0380 in all four mutants, despite the presence of other homologous repeats within the FBT paralogues of chromosome 10. One possible reason could be the fitness cost that the loss of folate transport would have brought from the deletion of the nearby FT1 or FT5 (23, 37). The *AdoMetT1* gene is preferentially expressed in stationary phase that is correlated with SNF susceptibility (Fig. 3). Possibly *Leishmania* uses primarily the AdoMet biosynthetic route during logarithmic phase, but because of metabolic reprogramming during stationary phase, the parasite may rely to a greater extent on transport of AdoMet to meet its AdoMet requirements. No phenotypic SNVs could be associated with SNF resistance in our NGS effort.

Analysis of the resistant mutants led to an understanding of the main strategy to resist SNF, but we had to rely on a functional genomic screen to isolate genes that could help in our understanding of the physiological role of AdoMet in *Leishmania*. A Cos-seq screen (20) highlighted the enrichment of at least six cosmids by SNF selection and identified MetK as a target for SNF in *Leishmania*. This gene produced six- to sevenfold-higher resistance to SNF. This is lower than the last drug concentration (64×) used during the Cos-seq selection, but our experience indicates that we can seldom reach the level of resistance used for selection while transfecting individual genes. It is salient to point out, however, that even if cells are selected at 64× *EC₅₀*, they may not be resistant to that level of drug. Indeed, during continuous drug selection, a population may arise where there is transient physiological adaptation or tolerance that may facilitate growth in the presence of the drug. Of the other cosmids/genes that were revealed, one was coding for the mRNA cap-(guanine-N7)-methyltransferase CMT1. SNF is known to inhibit several viral N7 cap methyltransferases (38) and fungal enzymes (39).
and it was suggested to be the target of SNF antifungal activity (40). Cotransfection of the yeast MetK (SAM1) and N7 cap methyltransferase (ABD1) was shown to produce resistance to SNF in yeast (34). Work on CMT1 has been carried out in the related parasite Trypanosoma brucei (41), and this gene appears to be nonessential (42). Here, we suggest, in line with viral work, that along with MetK the Leishmania CMT1 may be a secondary target for SNF. Modeling studies (Fig. 5) support this suggestion.

Our Cos-seq screen also led to the characterization of the leucine carboxyl methyltransferase LCMT. Molecular docking studies identified crucial residues for the interaction between AdoMet or SNF with LCMT as well (Fig. 5; see also Fig. S5 in the supplemental material). In mammals, LCMT-1 methylates the C-terminal leucine of the C-subunits of protein phosphatases of the PP2A subfamily. Its methylation facilitates the formation of PP2A heterodimers that are involved in a plethora of physiological processes related to cell growth and proliferation (43). PP2AC-LCMT interactions were verified in Leishmania by immunoprecipitation of the two coexpressing tagged versions of the proteins. In contrast to Leishmania, LCMT is essential in mice (44). Overexpression of LCMT produces SNF resistance (Table 1), but its inactivation produced even more resistance (Fig. 6C). We found that overexpression of LCMT produces significant resistance only in early log phase of growth, while the LCMT−/− cells are resistant to SNF at every growth phase of the parasite. Thus, one possible explanation is that the Leishmania protein has several targets and their state of methylation (possibly linked to growth phase) is implicated in SNF resistance. For example, replacement of the terminal leucine in PP2AC contributed to SNF resistance (Fig. 7B). Interestingly, about 10% of the proteins in L. infantum possess leucine residues at their C termini. The Leishmania LCMT is 28% identical to the mammalian enzyme and phylogenetically distinct among LCMT orthologues, and it remains to be seen whether it also has PP2A as a substrate. Protein-protein docking would suggest that this is quite possible (Fig. S8). It is intriguing that the Cos-seq screen led to a PP2A regulatory subunit (LinJ.15.0980) which was found to elicit (low) resistance against SNF (Table 1). The LCMT−/− mutant is resistant to SNF (Fig. 6C), and this is consistent with our observation that WT cells with episomal expression of a PP2A-L308G version were also slightly resistant to SNF. The protein LinJ.29.0180, also involved in the response to SNF, has a Pfam motif for protein phosphatase inhibitor 2, and possibly the modulation in the activity of a number of phosphatases influences AdoMet metabolism and thus, the SNF response.

Two genes encoding tryparedoxin (TXN3 and TXN4) were also shown to contribute to SNF resistance (Table 1). Overexpression of tryparedoxin possibly helped the parasite to circumvent the redox imbalance imposed by SNF.

The use of independent genomic approaches for studying the mode of action of SNF in Leishmania allowed the characterization of novel features related to AdoMet function in Leishmania. SNF has interesting activity against Leishmania, and reducing its toxicity may bring it further along the development pipeline. Potential targets have now been found which could further help in the development of SNF-like compounds. Ideally, these analogues would maintain specific activity against multiple Leishmania targets while being more lipophilic, hence escaping the need to enter the cells through AdoMetT1, a locus frequently deleted when cells are in contact with SNF.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Parasite culture. L. infantum MHOM/MA/67/ITMAP-263 parasites and the L. infantum MHOM/MA/67/ITMAP-263 population harboring a cosmid library (20) were maintained as promastigotes at 25°C in SDM-79 or M199 as described earlier (20). Cell growth and 50% effective concentration (EC50) were monitored by measuring the absorbance at 600 nm as described before (20).

Whole-genome sequencing and analysis. Paired-end sequencing libraries were prepared from L. infantum genomic DNA with the Nextera DNA sample prep kit and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq platform with 101-nucleotide paired-end reads. An average genome coverage of more than 50-fold was achieved (see Fig. S1A in the supplemental material). Sequence reads were aligned to the L. infantum JPCN5 genome using bwa-mem (45). Read duplicates were marked using Picard, and GATK was applied for discovering single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) and small insertions or deletions (indels) (46). SNVs and indels from the vcf files were filtered using the following hard filtering criteria: mappingQual (MQ) of ≥40, FisherStrand (FS) of ≤60, QualByDepth (QD) of ≥5, MappingQualityRankSumTest (MQRankSum) of ≥2.5, and ReadPosRankSumTest (ReadPosRankSum) of ≥−4. Single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) re-
vealed by next-generation sequencing (NGS) were confirmed by PCR amplification and conventional DNA sequencing. Copy number variations (CNVs) were derived from read depth coverage as described earlier (47).

**Cosmid extraction, purification, and paired-end sequencing library preparation.** Cosmid extraction was conducted as previously described (20). Purified total DNA was treated with RiboShredder RNase blend (Epicentre) to remove potential RNA contaminations. Genomic DNA was removed with plasmid-safe ATP-dependent DNase (Epicentre) following the manufacturer’s instructions. In addition, kinetoplastid DNA was removed by electrophoresis of DNase-treated cosmid extracts on 1% low-molecular-weight cosmid DNA (~50 kb). Purified cosmid DNA was quantified with the QuantiFluor dsDNA system staining kit (Promega). Fifty nanograms of purified cosmid DNA was used for paired-end library preparation using Nextera DNA sample preparation kit (Illumina). Sequencing libraries were quantified with the QuantiFluor dsDNA system and sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq system at a final concentration of 8 pM.

**Cosmid enrichment analysis.** Sequencing reads from each sample were independently aligned to the *L. infantum* JPCM5 reference genome (version 8.0) obtained from TritypDB (http://tritypdb.org/tritypdb/) using the bow-mem software (48). BAM files were converted to BED files by using BEDTools (49), and the read depth and genome coverage were visualized using the SignalMap software (Roche NimbleGen). The detection of enriched genes relied on the Trinity software version 2.1.1 (50), which includes all third-party tools required for the analysis. Gene abundance within samples was quantified using the kallisto software (51). Clusters of genes significantly enriched by drug selection were retrieved with edgeR (52) using the default parameters (false-discovery rate of \( \leq 0.001 \)). Gene clusters were then plotted according to the median-centered log2-transformed fragment per kilobase per million mapped reads (FPKM) values using R scripts included in the Trinity package. Only genes with a log2 fold change of \( \geq 4 \) were retained. The cosmid fold enrichment was computed by extracting the mean FPKM ratio for the genes on enriched cosmids in the drug-selected samples normalized to the mean FPKM ratio for these genes in the control sample passaged in the absence of drug.

**DNA constructs, cosmid isolation, and transfection.** The genes of *L. infantum* were amplified from genomic DNA using compatible primer pairs and cloned in the *Leishmania* expression vectors pSP72αZeoα, pSP72αPuroα, or pSP72αHYGα unless mentioned otherwise. A total of 20 \( \mu \text{g} \) of plasmid DNA for episomal expression was transfected into *Leishmania* promastigotes by electroporation.

The enriched cosmids used for paired-end sequencing library preparation were transformed in *Escherichia coli* DH5α and were either recovered by random picking of transformed colonies or by colony hybridization as described earlier (20). Candidate cosmids were transfected in wild-type (WT) *L. infantum* parasites.

**Knockout cell lines were generated in WT *L. infantum* expressing Cas9 (LiCas9) (21). Puromycin and neomycin resistance genes were amplified with primers containing 30- to 40-bp sequences upstream and downstream of the target gene. A CRISPR RNA (crRNA) targeting the open reading frame (ORF) of LCMT (LinJ.36.0090) was designed targeting the following sequence: gRNALCMT, GCGACCTGTATGACG (CCAGG). The guide RNA (gRNA) was generated by hybridizing 5 \( \mu \text{M} \) of 0.1 nmol/\( \mu \text{L} \) crRNA with 5 \( \mu \text{M} \) of equimolar trans-activating crRNA (tracrRNA) (IDT) as described earlier (21). Eight micrograms of each repair template and 5 \( \mu \text{L} \) of each crRNA-tracrRNA hybrid were transfected simultaneously using Amaxa Nucleofector transfection kit (Lonza). The selection was done with puromycin at 100 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \) or with neomycin at 400 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \). Allelic substitutions were confirmed by PCR amplification of target genes followed by standard sequencing.

**Immunoprecipitation and Western blot analysis.** Immunoprecipitation was done using Pierce HA-tag magnetic IP/co-IP kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Lysis of pellets derived from mid-log-phase cells was performed using lysis buffer supplemented with Halt protease inhibitor cocktail and Halt phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Scientific) with 20 to 30 strokes of a Dounce homogenizer with the cells on ice. Clear supernatants obtained after centrifugation (10,000 \( \times \) g, 30 min) were incubated with antihemagglutinin (anti-HA) magnetic beads at 4°C for 4 h on a gentle rotator. The beads were separated and washed, and SDS-PAGE was performed on 10% or 12% acrylamide gels by standard procedures. Protein expression Immobilion western chemiluminescence kit (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) was used to detect proteins. Antibodies and dilutions used are as follows: mouse anti-HA IgG (Santa Cruz), (1:5,000), mouse antitubulin IgG (Millipore) (1:5,000), horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (Cell Signaling) (1:10,000), rabbit anti-Ty-1 IgG (Genscript) (1:500), and HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (GE Life Sciences) (1:5,000).

**Homology model and molecular docking.** The homology model structures of METK (LinJ.30.3560), CMT1 (LinJ.36.0130), and LCMT (LinJ.36.0090) are built using the protein template structures from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) entries or accession nos. 4ODJ, 4FYU, 3EI, and 5E8J. The model structures were built using a fully automated protein structure homology modeling server SWISS-MODEL (http://swissmodel.expasy.org/) (53). The model quality was estimated based on the QMEAN scoring functions of 0.80, 0.55, 0.68, and 0.56 which are within the acceptable range (54). PyMOL v1.3 was used to visualize the structural models (55). In silico docking of structural models of METK, CMT1, and LCMT with SNF and AdoMet was conducted using the PATCHDOCK server (56) and FireDock, an efficient method for the refinement and rescoring of rigid-body docking solutions (57). The binding site residues are identified from the LigPatt + (58) for representation of hydrophobic and hydrogen-bond interactions.

**Protein-protein docking.** Homology models for LiLCMT and PP2A individually were built using SWISS-MODEL (59) with complex structure of human LCMT-1 and PP2A catalytic domains (PDB codes 5Y8R) as the template with QMEAN scores of –1.84 and –1.59, which are within the allowable limit. Each of the structures was validated by...
Procheck (60) with one and two residues in the disallowed region of the Ramachandran plot. Docking was performed with the two models using HADDOCK (26) after defining the restraints using CPART (61). The best-docked cluster with a root mean square deviation (RMSD) of 0.4 ± 0.2 was further refined with Galaxy Refine Complex (62). The interface and stabilization energies of the complex were analyzed by Proflex (63) and PIMA (64), respectively.

**Statistical analysis.** For statistical analysis, two-tailed unpaired t test with GraphPad Prism 5.01 software was performed unless mentioned otherwise.

**Availability of data and materials.** The data set supporting the conclusions of this article is available in the Sequencing Read Archive ([https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra](https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra)) repository under BioProject accession no. PRJNA552229 and sample accessions SAMN12184655 to SAMN12184659.
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