X-Linked RNA-Binding Motif Protein Modulates HIV-1 Infection of CD4+ T Cells by Maintaining the Trimethylation of Histone H3 Lysine 9 at the Downstream Region of the 5′ Long Terminal Repeat of HIV Proviral DNA
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ABSTRACT  Reversible repression of HIV-1 5′ long terminal repeat (5′-LTR)-mediated transcription represents the main mechanism for HIV-1 to maintain latency. Identification of host factors that modulate LTR activity and viral latency may help develop new antiretroviral therapies. The heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins (hnRNPs) are known to regulate gene expression and possess multiple physiological functions. hnRNP family members have recently been identified as the sensors for viral nucleic acids to induce antiviral responses, highlighting the crucial roles of hnRNPs in regulating viral infection. A member of the hnRNP family, X-linked RNA-binding motif protein (RBMX), has been identified in this study as a novel HIV-1 restriction factor that modulates HIV-1 5′-LTR-driven transcription of viral genome in CD4+ T cells. Mechanistically, RBMX binds to HIV-1 proviral DNA at the LTR downstream region and maintains the repressive trimethylation of histone H3 lysine 9 (H3K9me3), leading to a blockage of the recruitment of the positive transcription factor phosphorylated RNA polymerase II (RNA pol II) and consequential impediment of transcription elongation. This RBMX-mediated modulation of HIV-1 transcription maintains viral latency by inhibiting viral reactivation from an integrated proviral DNA. Our findings provide a new understanding of how host factors modulate HIV-1 infection and latency and suggest a potential new target for the development of HIV-1 therapies.

IMPORTANCE HIV-1 latency featuring silence of transcription from HIV-1 proviral DNA represents a major obstacle for HIV-1 eradication. Reversible repression of HIV-1 5′-LTR-mediated transcription represents the main mechanism for HIV-1 to maintain latency. The 5′-LTR-driven HIV gene transcription can be modulated by multiple host factors and mechanisms. The hnRNPs are known to regulate gene expression. A member of the hnRNP family, RBMX, has been identified in this study as a novel HIV-1 restriction factor that modulates HIV-1 5′-LTR-driven transcription of viral genome in CD4+ T cells and maintains viral latency. These findings provide a new understanding of how host factors modulate HIV-1 infection and latency and suggest a potential new target for the development of HIV-1 therapies.
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HIV-1 latency featuring silence of transcription from HIV-1 proviral DNA represents a major obstacle for HIV-1 eradication (1–4). Eradicating latent HIV reservoir by activating viral gene transcription has recently been explored as a new adjunct to
antiretroviral therapy. The 5’-long terminal repeat (5’-LTR)-driven HIV gene transcription can be modulated by multiple host factors and mechanisms. For example, epigenetic modification of the 5’-LTR of HIV-1 proviral DNA tightly regulates viral gene transcription (5, 6). This may be accomplished by recruitment of histone deacetylases, histone methyltransferases, or DNA methyltransferases; all of these enzymes can cause chromatin remodeling and nucleosome reorganization, leading to silencing of the viral promoter (6, 7). The heterochromatin-associated histone marker H3K9me3 (trimethylation of histone H3 lysine 9) is known to suppress HIV-1 transcription, and thus, a reduction of H3K9me3 modification by treatment with chaetocin, a lysine-specific histone methyltransferase, reactivates HIV from latency (8–10). Other chromatin reassembly factors, such as Spt6 (chromatin-specific transcription elongation factor SPT6), Chd1 (chromodomain-helicase-DNA-binding protein 1) and SUN2 (the Sad1 and UNC84 domain containing 2) have also been shown to modulate HIV-1 gene transcription by maintaining the heterochromatin (11–13).

Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins (hnRNPs) are structurally and functionally distinct proteins containing specific domains and motifs that enable these proteins to bind certain nucleotide sequences and modulate gene expression, thereby modifying multiple physiological functions (14, 15). X-linked RNA-binding motif protein (RBMX) belongs to the hnRNP family of proteins (16, 17), and it was first identified as a glycosylated RNA-binding protein that is mainly located in the nucleus and nearly universally expressed in all tissues (18, 19). RBMX modulates multiple cellular processes. It has a highly conserved RNA-binding domain that enables its participation in pre-mRNA splicing (20). By associating with multiply splicing related proteins, RBMX forms the supraspliceosome that regulates the selection of alternative splice sites and facilitates splicing of pre-mRNA (19, 21). Furthermore, RBMX has been reported to be an m6A reader protein that binds RNA through RRM and RGG (Arg-Gly-Gly) motifs (the low-complexity region), and it cotranscriptionally interacts with the phosphorylated RNA polymerase II (RNA pol II) and m6A-modified nascent pre-mRNAs to modulate RNA pol II occupancy and alternative splicing (22, 23).

RBMX also participates in the regulation of gene transcription. In different cellular environments, RBMX may inhibit or activate gene transcription (20). For instance, RBMX is enriched within heterochromatin and interacts with transcriptionally modulated chromatin marker H3K9me3 to stop/slow down gene transcription during cellular reprogramming (24); conversely, through binding to the promoter of the sterol regulatory element-binding protein 1c (SREBP-1c) gene, RBMX activates transcription of the SREBP-1c gene in response to a high-fructose diet (25, 26).

By performing its functions in regulating transcription and pre-mRNA splicing, RBMX has been shown to perform differential activities in different viral infections. RBMX inhibits the infection of human papillomavirus type 16 by preventing the inclusion of exon on HPV16 late L1 mRNAs for splicing (27). In another setting, however, RBMX interacts with the nucleoprotein of Borna disease virus and promotes the formation of viral speckles of transcripts, leading to enhanced viral gene transcription (28). Which side of RBMX will affect HIV-1 infection is unknown.

In this study, we found that RBMX suppresses HIV-1 infection by modulating HIV-1 5’-LTR-driven viral transcription. At the molecular level, RBMX bound to HIV-1 proviral DNA at the downstream regions of LTR and maintained the repressive H3K9me3 modification. Consequently, the recruitment of the positive transcription factor was blocked and transcription elongation was stopped. At a functional level, RBMX-mediated modulation of HIV-1 transcription contributed to the maintenance of viral latency. Our findings facilitate a better understanding of host modulation of HIV-1 infection and latency and provide a potential host cell target for the development of HIV-1 therapies.

RESULTS

RBMX inhibits HIV-1 infection of CD4+ T cells. To investigate the role of RBMX in the regulation of HIV-1 infection, cells with knockdown of RBMX were generated by
transducing Jurkat T cells with lentiviruses containing RBMX-specific short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) (Fig. 1A) and infected with pseudotyped HIV-luc/vesicular stomatitis virus G for 2 days. Then, luciferase activity was measured for viral infection. RBMX knockdown resulted in a 15- to 47-fold enhancement of HIV-1 infection based on the results from five independent experiments (Fig. 1B). When different amounts of HIV-luc/vesicular stomatitis virus G were used for infection, RBMX knockdown cells consistently showed a 26- to 44-fold increase in viral infection (Fig. 1C). Conversely, overexpression of RBMX in Jurkat T cells inhibited HIV-1 infection (Fig. 1D and E).

We further confirmed RBMX’s inhibitory role in primary CD4+ T cells. Phytohemagglutinin P (PHA-P)-activated primary CD4+ T cells significantly knocked down endogenous RBMX by transducing the cells with lentiviruses containing RBMX-specific shRNA for 3 days (Fig. 1F) and then infecting the cells with replication-competent virus HIVNL4-3 for an additional 5 and 7 days. RBMX knockdown increased HIV-1 replication, as demon
Stratified by increased synthesis of HIV-1 p24Gag and p55Gag proteins as detected by Western blotting (Fig. 1F, left panel), p24Gag capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) (Fig. 1F, right panel), and increased production of infectious viruses in the cell cultural supernatants as quantified by titration in TZM-bl indicator cells (Fig. 1G).

To ensure the above observation is not limited to selective cell types, we went on to examine whether the inhibitory role of RBMX could also be demonstrated in HEK293T cells. Again, the endogenous RBMX in HEK293T cells was knocked down by either transducing the cells with lentiviruses containing RBMX-specific shRNAs (see Fig. S1A in the supplemental material) or transfecting the cells directly with specific small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) (Fig. S2A), and then the cells were infected with HIV-luc/VSV-G for an additional 2 days. Viral infection was monitored by either tracking luciferase activity (Fig. S1B and Fig. S2B) or capture ELISA for quantifying p24Gag production (Fig. S1C), or quantification of HIV-1 gag mRNA by real-time PCR (RT-PCR) (Fig. S2C). RBMX knockdown significantly promoted HIV-1 infection and production (Fig. S1B and S1C and Fig. S2B and S2C). Conversely, RBMX overexpression in HEK293T cells inhibited HIV-1 production (Fig. S1D, S1E, and S1F). Taken together, these data demonstrate the modulative role of RBMX on HIV-1 infection.

RBMX inhibits HIV-1 LTR-driven transcription. RBMX is mainly located in the nucleus, and it is responsible for regulating gene transcription and pre-mRNA splicing (19). Next, we investigated whether RBMX can inhibit HIV-1 transcription. Jurkat cells with or without stable knockdown of RBMX were infected with HIV-luc/VSV-G for 24 h and then quantified for the production of HIV-1 gag DNA and mRNA by RT-PCR. RBMX knockdown did not significantly alter HIV-1 gag DNA level (Fig. 2A, right panel), but it promoted HIV-1 gag mRNA production (Fig. 2A, left panel). Similar results were obtained by using HEK293T cells with knockdown of RBMX, in which there was enhancement of HIV-1 infection and gag mRNA production but no change in gag DNA

**FIG 2** RBMX inhibits HIV-1 LTR-driven transcription. (A) RBMX modulates HIV-1 transcription. Jurkat T cells with or without RBMX knockdown were infected with HIV-luc/VSV-G (2ng p24Gag) for 24 h, and then the HIV-1 proviral gag DNA and the transcribed gag mRNA were quantified with real-time PCR (RT-PCR). The GAPDH gene was used for normalization. (B) RBMX modulates both basal and Tat-driven HIV-1 transcription. TZM-bl cells were cotransfected with RBMX siRNAs, and/or HIV-1-tat expressing plasmid pRK-Flag/tat, and/or siRNA off-target control and plasmid vector control. The expression of RBMX and Tat was detected by Western blotting, and HIV-1 5'-LTR-driven gene expression was detected by measuring luciferase activity. (C and D) RBMX modulates the elongation of LTR-driven transcription. Jurkat T cells with or without RBMX knockdown were infected with HIV-luc/VSV-G (2ng p24Gag) for 24 h, transcribed viral mRNAs were isolated, and specific primers were used to quantify the initiation and elongation of HIV-1 transcription. Data are presented as means plus SD. The results from one representative experiment from at least three independent experiments are shown. Values that are significantly different are indicated by bars and asterisks as follows: *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001. Abbreviations for elongated viral mRNA transcripts: Pro, proximal; Int, intermediate; Dis, distal.
level (Fig. S2C and S2D). The above data indicate that RBMX specifically inhibits the HIV-1 transcription step.

To further dissect the molecular details on how RBMX inhibits HIV-1 LTR-driven transcription, TZM-bl cells that have been engineered to contain integrated HIV-1 LTR were cotransfected with specific siRNAs to knockdown RBMX and an HIV-1 Tat-expressing plasmid to promote transcription (Fig. 2B, left panel). The results showed that RBMX knockdown promoted both basal and Tat trans-activated LTR-driven transcription as measured by luciferase activity (Fig. 2B, right panel).

We then went to gauge the initiation and elongation of HIV-1 LTR-driven transcription by monitoring RT-PCR products with specific primers (Fig. 2C), using previously described methods (29, 30). RBMX knockdown slightly increased the production of initiated viral messenger RNAs (vmRNAs) (1.7- to 2.9-fold) and the elongated vmRNAs proximal (Pro) transcripts (3-fold), whereas the intermediate (Int) and distal (Dis) transcripts showed a 12- to 28-fold increase (Fig. 2D). Similar results have been obtained in HIV-luc/VSV-G-infected HEK293T cells in which RBMX knockdown by siRNA promoted the production of the elongated Int and Dis transcripts of vmRNAs (Fig. S2E).

Taken together, these data demonstrate that RBMX mainly impedes the elongation of HIV-1 5‘-LTR-driven transcription.

RBMX interacts with proteins located at the downstream region of HIV-1 5‘-LTR. RBMX is known to regulate gene expression through an association with promoters (25). To explore whether RBMX can bind to the HIV-1 promoter within the LTR region to modulate HIV gene expression, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-PCR assay in HIV-luc/VSV-G-infected HEK293T cells and analyzed binding by using specific primers (Fig. 3A). The mapping results revealed that RBMX did not bind directly to nucleosome 0 (Nuc-0), Nuc-1, or Nuc-2, instead, it was enriched on the HIV-1 5‘-LTR downstream region, particularly the 1108 nucleic acid site (Fig. 3B). The treatment with a phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate (PMA)/ionomycin cocktail to activate LTR significantly reduced RBMX’s binding to this region (Fig. 3B). Similar experiments in Jurkat T cells confirmed the enrichment of RBMX to the region downstream of HIV-1 5‘-LTR, especially at the 1108 nucleic acid site, and RBMX knockdown markedly reduced RBMX’s association with this site (Fig. 3C). These data confirmed a specific association between RBMX and HIV-1 5‘-LTR downstream region.

RBMX binds with and maintains H3K9me3 modification to suppress HIV-1 infection. RBMX is known to maintain the H3K9me3 modification in order to modulate
Having demonstrated above that the interaction between RBMX with other proteins is involved for its inhibitory role, we first investigated the association of RXBM with H3K9me3 by immunoprecipitation assay. HEK293T cells were transfected with protein-expressing plasmid pCDNA3.1-HA/RBMX or mutant plasmid ΔRRM, and both proteins were active in inhibiting HIV-1 as demonstrated above. Cell lysates were incubated with H3K9me3-specific antibodies for immunoprecipitation, and the anti-hemagglutinin tag (anti-HA-tag) antibodies were used for immunoblotting. Results showed the association of both wild-type RBMX and ΔRRM mutant with H3K9me3 (Fig. 4A). Next, to examine whether the maintenance of H3K9me3 modification confers RBMX’s inhibitory role for HIV gene expression, we performed ChIP-PCR assay using the HIV-luc/VSV-G-infected Jurkat T cells and analyzed H3K9me3 modification around the 1108 nucleic acid site of HIV-1 proviral DNA, where RBMX binds. Results confirmed the presence of H3K9me3 modification around the 1108 nucleic acid site of HIV-1 proviral DNA (Fig. 4B, left panel), and RBMX knockdown decreased the modification of H3K9me3 (Fig. 4B, left panel). The H3K9me3 modification can maintain gene transcription (24).
the heterochromatin and block the recruitment of positive transcription factors (32). To investigate whether this happens, we performed ChIP-PCR assay using the HIV-luc/VSV-G-infected Jurkat T cells and analyzed the recruitment of phosphorylated RNA polymerase II (pSer-2 RNA pol II). Results showed that RBMX knockdown significantly promoted the recruitment of phosphorylated RNA pol II at Ser-2 (pSer-2 RNA pol II) to the region around the 1108 nucleic acid site of HIV-1 proviral DNA (Fig. 4B, right panel). We next use the RBMX mutants to verify the function of RBMX at the maintenance of H3K9me3 modification. HEK293T cells transfected with pCDNA3.1-HA/RBMX or mutant plasmids and infected with HIV-luc/VSV-G (2 ng p24Gag) were subjected to H3K9me3 ChIP-PCR assay. Fragments of HIV-1 proviral DNA around the 1108 nucleic acid site were amplified. (D) Treatment with H3K9me3 inhibitor compromises RBMX-mediated HIV-1 inhibition. Jurkat T cells with or without RBMX stable knockdown were infected with HIV-luc/VSV-G (2 ng p24Gag) for 48 h in the presence or absence of chaetocin (50 nM), and HIV-1 infection was monitored by measuring luciferase activity. (E and F) Knockdown of SUV39H1 compromises RBMX-mediated HIV-1 inhibition. Jurkat T cells with or without RBMX stable knockdown were transfected with siRNAs specific for SUV39H1 by electroporation for 24 h, and then infected with HIV-luc/VSV-G (2 ng p24Gag) for an additional 48 h. H3K9me3 and RBMX expression was detected by Western blotting (G), and the associations of H3K9me3 and RBMX with the HIV-1 5' -LTR downstream region around the 1108 nucleic acid site were investigated by ChIP-PCR. Data are presented as means ± SD. The results from one representative experiment from at least three independent experiments are shown. **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ns, not significant.
To further confirm that the modification of H3K9me3 around the 1108 nucleic acid site is involved in RBMX-mediated HIV-1 modulation, a lysine-specific histone methyltransferase inhibitor, chaetocin, which reduces H3K9me3 modification by inhibiting the histone methyltransferase effects of SUV39H1 (33), was used to treat Jurkat T cells 4 h after HIV-luc/VSV-G infection. RBMX knockdown increased HIV infection by 7.9-fold, but chaetocin treatment decreased enhancement to 2.9-fold, a 63% suppression (Fig. 4D). We further knocked down the expression of SUV39H1 with specific siRNAs in Jurkat T cells in which RBMX had been stably knocked down to investigate its effect on RBMX-mediated HIV-1 suppression (Fig. 4E). The additional knockdown of SUV39H1 further reduced RBMX-mediated enhancement for HIV-1 infection from 6.1-fold to 2.6-fold, representing a 57% suppression (Fig. 4F).

To strengthen the finding that H3K9me3 provides the basis for RBMX association with HIV-1 5’-LTR downstream region, Jurkat T cells infected with HIV-luc/VSV-G were further treated with chaetocin, and the reduced modification of H3K9me3 (Fig. 4G) and decreased H3K9me3 association with the 5’-LTR downstream region around the 1108 nucleic acid site (Fig. 4H, left panel) were observed. As expected, RBMX association with this region was significantly reduced (Fig. 4H, right panel). These data demonstrate that H3K9me3 mediates RBMX association with HIV-1 LTR downstream region.

Taken together, these data demonstrate that H3K9me3 mediates RBMX location at the HIV-1 5’-LTR downstream region to maintain the H3K9me3 modification and suppress HIV-1 transcription.

RBMX maintains HIV-1 latency by modulating viral reactivation from an integrated proviral DNA. HIV-1 latency is characterized by the silence of LTR-driven transcription of an integrated proviral DNA (34, 35). The inhibitory role RBMX plays on HIV-1 transcription suggests a potential for it to modulate HIV-1 latency. To investigate this, HIV-1 latently infected ACH2 cells was infected with lentiviruses containing specific shRNAs to knockdown the endogenous RBMX and then used to detect viral reactivation after treatment with tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) or a mixture of PMA/ionomycin (Fig. 5A). RBMX knockdown significantly promoted HIV-1 reactivation, with a 5- to 11-fold enhancement compared to the medium control group (Fig. 5B and C). To confirm that the observed correlation of RBMX expression and HIV-1 reactivation is not an artifact in a single cell line, we tested this in the HIV-1 latently infected Jurkat T-cell (C11 clone) which harbors an HIV-1 proviral DNA encoding green fluorescent protein (GFP) (13, 36–38). Similar to results obtained in ACH2 cells, the knockdown of endogenous RBMX in C11 cells with shRNAs promoted both basal (medium) and TNF-α-stimulated HIV-1 reactivation (Fig. 5D and E).

The ChIP-PCR assay was also performed in ACH2 cells. RBMX knockdown and treatment with TNF-α or PMA/ionomycin reduced the binding of RBMX to HIV-1 proviral DNA at the 1108 nucleic acid site (Fig. 5F and G, left panels) and concurrently reduced the modification of H3K9me3 at this region (Fig. 5F and G, right panels). Taken together, these results demonstrate that RBMX maintains HIV-1 latency by modulating viral reactivation from an integrated proviral DNA.

DISCUSSION
Repression of gene transcriptional represents a main mechanism by which HIV-1 latency is maintained (6). Multiply nuclear factors, including hnRNPs, such as hnRNPA2/B1, hnRNP U, have been shown to modulate the transcription of latently infected HIV-1 (39–41). We have previously reported that one hnRNP family member, SAFB1 (scaffold attachment factor B), is capable of inhibiting HIV-1 transcription by preventing the recruitment of RNA pol II to HIV-1 LTR (29). In fact, hnRNPs may function as sensors for viral nucleic acids and induce antiviral responses. For instance, hnRNPA2B1 senses herpes simplex virus 1 DNA to initiate alpha/beta interferon (IFN-α/β) production and enhances STING-dependent cytoplasmic antiviral signaling (42); hnRNP U (SAFA) can sense double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) of both DNA and RNA viruses, and it oligomerizes and activates antiviral responses during viral infections (43). In this study, we report
another hnRNP member, RBMX, as a novel viral restriction factor that suppresses HIV-1 infection of CD4+ T cells by modulating HIV-1 5′-LTR-driven transcription.

In contrast to a previous report that RBMX binds to the promoter to regulate gene expression (25), we found that RBMX was indirectly enriched on the HIV-1 promoter through association with H3K9me3, and it bound to the LTR downstream region of HIV-1 proviral DNA to maintain the repressive H3K9me3, resulting a blockade of the recruitment of the positive transcription factors and an impediment of transcription elongation (Fig. 6). RBMX has not been reported to have a typical DNA binding motif,
the C-terminal SRR and TRR domains are mainly responsible for protein binding (19, 31). However, hnRNPA2B1 was recently reported to use its RRM domain to recognize viral DNA to initiate antiviral signaling (42). In this study, the deletion of RRM in RBMX reduced its binding to HIV-1 proviral DNA but maintained its ability to inhibit HIV-1 infection, indicating that an indirect binding of RBMX with HIV-1 proviral DNA is mediating the inhibitory role. In support of this interpretation, deletion of the SRR motif did not alter the cellular location of RBMX but abolished its HIV-1 suppressing activity, suggesting that SRR motif-mediated interaction with other host protein(s) is required for mediating HIV-1 suppression. Considering the association of RBMX with H3K9me3 in a heterochromatin environment (24, 44) and the fact that the ΔSRR mutant lacking HIV-1 inhibition activity could not maintain the H3K9me3 modification, we infer that the association of RBMX with H3K9me3 is not mediated through a direct binding with HIV-1 proviral DNA.

It is interesting to note that the modification of H3K9me3, even at the location 1 kb away from the transcription start site (TSS), can still inhibit gene transcription (45). H3K9me3 silences HIV-1 transcription, and the removal of this modification by interfering with the expression of related histone methyltransferase or treatment with histone methyltransferase inhibitors can reduce transcription repression and reactivate HIV-1 from latency (8, 10, 46, 47). In keeping with this knowledge, in this study, we found that the knockdown of methyltransferase SUV39H1 or treatment with the histone methyltransferase inhibitor chaetocin compromised RBMX-mediated HIV-1 suppression. H3K9me3 associates with TSS downstream region and impedes RNA pol II-mediated transcription elongation (48–50). In accordance with this, we observe an association of H3K9me3 with the region downstream of HIV-1 LTR to block the recruitment of phosphorylated RNA RNA pol II, thus hindering HIV-1 transcription elongation. We recognize that the epigenetic change was derived by using transformed cells, and validation by using primary T cells would be helpful in a future study.

The modulation of RBMX on HIV-1 5′-LTR-driven transcription confirmed its function in maintaining HIV-1 latency at postintegrational steps. By using the HIV-1 latently infected ACH2 cells and Jurkat T cells, we demonstrate that RBMX modulates HIV-1 reactivation from an integrated proviral DNA and maintains HIV-1 latency. Treatment with TNF-α or latency reverse agent PMA/ionomycin removes RBMX association with HIV-1 proviral DNA and reactivates viral latency. Overall, this study demonstrates that RBMX may be a new host target to which HIV-1 latency and reactivation could be modulated in order to achieve HIV eradication. Multiple mechanisms for explaining the establishment, maintenance, and reversal of HIV-1 latency have been proposed, and several HIV-1 latency models have hence been established (51–55). Thus, multiple disparities remain in these latency modulation models regarding virus-encoded programs such as Tat positive-feedback circuitry, posttranscriptional splicing feedback, and the viral evolution property for optimizing transmission while reducing viral extinction during mucosal infection (52–55), and the T-cell subtype status and cellular signaling have been proposed as well for explaining the modulation of HIV latency (51).
primary models including the resting CD4+ T cells isolated from patients under combination antiretroviral therapy should provide more physiologically relevant cellular models to validate our results using cell lines.

Taken together, in this study, we have identified a novel role of RBMX for suppressing HIV-1 transcription and infection. Our findings facilitate a better understanding of host modulation of HIV-1 infection and latency and provide a potential new host target site for the development of HIV-1 therapies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics statement. The Medical Ethics Review Committee of the Institut Pasteur of Shanghai, Chinese Academy of Sciences approved the use of human cells.

Cells. Buffy coat from healthy donors was purchased from Shanghai Changhai hospital and used to isolate human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) by ficoll-paque density gradient centrifugation. CD4+ T cells were separated from PBMCs by using CD4 antibody-coated magnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec) and maintained in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and penicillin-streptomycin in the presence of 20 IU/ml recombinant, human interleukin 2 (rIL-2). HeLa cell-derived TZM-bl cell line was a gift from Paul Zhou (Institut Pasteur of Shanghai, Chinese Academy of Sciences). Total DNA from cells was obtained with the QIAamp DNA blood kit (Qiagen). Real-time PCR reverse transcribed into cDNA using ReverTra Ace quantitative PCR (qPCR) real-time (RT) Master Mix (Invitrogen) or Jurkat T cells by electroporation (NEPA 21, NEPA GENE).

SDS sample loading buffer and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Specific primary antibodies were used, followed ice-cold RIPA buffer. After centrifugation for 10 min at 12,000 g, the lysates were incubated with indicated antibody at 4°C overnight. Dynabeads protein G/A were added into each sample at 4°C for 2 h for immunoprecipitation.

The immunoprecipitates were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and analyzed by immunoblotting. For immunoblotting, cells were lysed for 1 h at 37°C under 5% CO2.

Virus stock and infection assay. Calcium phosphate-mediated transfection of HEK293T cells was used to generate virus stocks. Pseudotyped HIV-luc/VSV-G was obtained by cotransfection with luciferase reporter HIV-1 proviral plasmid pNL-Δenv-luc and the expression plasmid vesicular stomatitis virus G (G, VSV-G) protein. pNL-Δenv-luc is an env-deleted and nef-inactivated HIV-1 proviral construct as described previously (13, 56, 57). Replication-competent virus HI11001 was obtained by transfecting HIV-1 vectors of the pNL4-3 plasmid. These plasmids were kindly provided by Li Wu (The Ohio State University, USA). Harvested supernatants that contained viral particles were filtered and quantified with p24Gag capture ELISA.

Cells were infected with HIV-luc/VSV-G or replication-competent virus HIV11001 for the indicated time, and after washing, cells were further cultured for 2 days (cell line) or 5 to 7 days (primary T cells). Viral infection was detected by measuring the luciferase activity from the cell lysates or detecting viral production in the supernatant by p24Gag capture ELISA. HIV-1 production was also titrated in TZM-bl indicator cells which contain LTR-driven luciferase reporter. Briefly, equal amounts of cell culture supernatant containing replication-competent HIV11001 were used to infect TZM-bl cells for 48 h, and HIV-1 infection was detected by measuring the luciferase activity.

Transfection with plasmids, shRNAs, and siRNAs. cDNA of RBMX was amplified from HEK293T cells and cloned into pcDNA3.1-HA-RBMX. Two RBMX short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) and off-target shRNA were cloned into PLKO.1-puro (puro stands for puromycin) vector (Addgene), and the sequences were as follows: off-target shRNA, 5'-TTC TCC GAA CGT GTC TAT T3'; Sh-RBMX-1: 5'-TTT CTT TTC TTT GAA CTG GGA T T3'. A mixture of small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) used for RBMX knockdown or SUV39H1 knockdown was synthesized (Ribobio, Guangzhou, China). The sequences were as follows: off-target siRNA, 5'-UUC UUC GAA GCU GUC AGC UTT-3'; si-RBMX-1, 5'-CAA GGU CUC GUG AUA CUA G3'; si-RBMX-2, 5'-GUG GAA GAC GAG ACA AUU A3'; si-SUV39H1-1, 5'-GGG TCC GTA TTG AAT GCA AGT-3'; si-SUV39H1-2, 5'-GCA CAA GTT TGC CTA CAA TGA-3'. Plasmids, shRNAs, and siRNAs were transfected into HEK293T cells by Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) or Jurkat T cells by electroporation (NEPA 21, NEPA GENE).

Real-time PCR (RT-PCR). Total cellular RNA from cells was isolated by TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) and reverse transcribed into cDNA using ReverTra Ace quantitative PCR (qPCR) real-time (RT) Master Mix (Toyobo). Total DNA from cells was obtained with the QIAamp DNA blood kit (Qiagen). Real-time PCR was performed by using the Thunderbird SYBR qPCR Mix (Toyobo) on the ABI 7900HT real-time PCR system. Primers were listed as below: for gag, forward, 5'-GTA TG AGG AAA AAC TCA ACC AGT AG-3' and reverse, 5'-GCC TCT GCC ACC ACC AT CTC-3'; for GPDH, forward, 5'-ATC ACA TCA CCA TCT TCC AGG AGG-3' and reverse, 5'-CTT CCA TGG TGG TGA AAG A-3'.

Immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting. Cells were lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (50 mM HEPES (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineneethanesulfonic acid) (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl (sodium chloride), 0.5 mM EGTA [ethylene glycol-bis (β-aminoethyl ether)-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid], 1% protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma), 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1 mM NaF (natrium fluoride), 1% (vol/vol) Triton X-100, and 10% (vol/vol) glycerol) for 1 h on ice with brief vortexing every 10 min. After centrifugation for 10 min at 12,000 g, the lysates were incubated with indicated antibody at 4°C overnight. Dynabeads protein G/A were added into each sample at 4°C for 2 h for immunoprecipitation. The immunoprecipitates were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and analyzed by immunoblotting. For immunoblotting, cells were lysed for 1 h at 4°C in ice-cold RIPA buffer. After centrifugation for 10 min at 12,000 g, supernatant was boiled in reducing SDS sample loading buffer and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Specific primary antibodies were used, followed
by horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG or goat anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma) as the secondary antibodies. One percent of total lysates was used as the input.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP).** ChIP assay was performed as previously described (58). Cells were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature and quenched with 0.125 M glycine for 5 min. After lysis, chromatin was sheared by use of a sonicator for a total of 12 min (2 s on and 6 s off) on ice to obtain DNA fragments of 200 to 500 bp. Five percent of the total sheared chromatin DNA was used as input sample. Other sheared chromatin was incubated overnight at 4°C with an antibody against pS-e2 RNA pol II (abcam), H3K9me3 (abcam), RBMX (abcam), or rabbit IgG (Cell Signaling), followed by incubating with 40 μl of protein A magnet beads for 4 h. After washing and reversing cross-link, the input and immunoprecipitated DNA was purified and analyzed by real-time PCR, using primers specifically targeting nucleotide positions of HIV-1 proviral DNA, Nuc-1, or nucleotide 552; forward, 5′-CTC CTG CCT GCT GGA ACC-3′; reverse, 5′-CTA AAA GGG TCT GAG GGA TCT C-3′; nucleotide 664, forward, 5′-GTG TGG AAA ATC TCT GAG AGT G-3′; and reverse, 5′-CTT CAG CAA GCC GAG TCC-3′; Nuc-2 or 858, forward, 5′-AGA GAT GGG TGC GAG AGC-3′; and reverse, 5′-ATT AAC TTC AGA TCG TTC TAC G-3′; 993, forward, 5′-CCT GCC GCT TTA GAG ACA TCA G-3′; and reverse, 5′-GCA CAC AAT AGA GGA GCA GTC TCA T-3′; 1108, forward, GTG TGC ATC AAA GGA TAG ATG T-3′; 1200, forward, 5′-AGC AGC TGA CAC AGG AAA CAA C-3′; and reverse, 5′-CCC ATG CAT TTA AAG TTC TAG G-3′. The primer number represents the middle site of the RT-qPCR product.

**Assays for HIV-1 reactivation.** HIV-1 latently infected ACH2 cells or Jurkat T cells (C11 clone) were infected with lentivirus containing shRNAs specific for RBMX or off-target shRNAs, then stimulated with TNP-α (20 ng/ml for ACH2, 2 and 10 ng/ml for C11) or PMA (10 nM)/ionomycin (1 μM) for 24 h. Viral reactivation in ACH2 was measured by quantifying produced viral particles in the supernatant by p24Gag assay for HIV-1 reactivation.
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