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ABSTRACT The human colon contains a community of microbial species, mostly bacteria, which is often referred to as the gut microbiota. The community is considered essential to human well-being by conferring additional energy-harvesting capacity, niche exclusion of pathogens, and molecular signaling activities that are integrated into human physiological processes. Plant polysaccharides (glycans, dietary fiber) are an important source of carbon and energy that supports the maintenance and functioning of the gut microbiota. Therefore, the daily quantity and quality of plant glycans consumed by the human host have the potential to influence health. Members of the gut microbiota differ in ability to utilize different types of plant glycans. Dietary interventions with specific glycans could modulate the microbiota, counteracting ecological perturbations that disrupt the intricate relationships between microbiota and host (dysbiosis). This review considers prospects and research options for modulation of the gut microbiota by the formulation of diets that, when consumed habitually, would correct dysbiosis by building diverse consortia that boost functional resilience. Traditional "prebiotics" favor bifidobacteria and lactobacilli, whereas dietary mixtures of plant glycans that are varied in chemical complexity would promote high-diversity microbiotas. It is concluded that research should aim at improving knowledge of bacterial consortia that, through shared nourishment, degrade and ferment plant glycans. The consortia may vary in composition from person to person, but functional outputs will be consistent in a given context because of metabolic redundancy among bacteria. Thus, the individuality of gut microbiotas could be encompassed, functional resilience encouraged, and correction of dysbiosis achieved.
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WHY MODULATE THE GUT MICROBIOTA OF HUMANS?

A microbial community largely composed of bacterial species, often referred to as the gut microbiota, inhabits the human colon (1). Early in life, when milk (especially human breast milk) is the sole source of nutrients for the infant host, the gut microbiota has a relatively simple taxonomic composition (low alpha-diversity), consisting predominantly of bifidobacterial species (2). Assembly of a much more complex microbiota occurs once solid (weaning, complementary) foods are introduced into the diet from 4 to 6 months of age. Plant glycans in fruit and vegetables that are indigestible by human processes in the gut pass to the colon, where they become available as growth substrates for bacteria (3, 4). Plant glycans are included in the category of dietary components known as dietary fiber (Text Box 1) (5). Ingestion of increasing amounts of plant glycans during the first year of life is accompanied by increasing diversity of bacterial species until, by about 12 months of age, a gut microbiota with many similarities to that of adults has developed (6, 7). Members of the bacterial families Lachnospiraceae and Ruminococcaceae, as well as Bacteroidaceae, are then the predominant taxa within the microbiota in terms of relative abundances (8). However, a mature microbiota in which characteristic taxa are maintained temporally in relatively
constant proportions may not be reached until children are 3 to 4 years of age or even older (9, 10). All of these developments are subject to influences associated with mode of delivery at birth, ethnicity, microbial dispersion, antibiotic administration, human genetics, and nourishment (11–17). It is nevertheless clear that the formation of the natural assemblage of bacterial species is influenced by two major factors, one exogenous, i.e., host diet components (such as plant glycans), and the other endogenous, i.e., host secretions (such as glycans associated with mucus) (3, 18). Practical manipulation of mucus production is likely to be difficult if not impossible to achieve, but the amount and kinds of plant glycans (dietary fiber) delivered to the colon can be controlled by dietary intake. Thus, dietary intervention with plant glycans provides a means of modulating the gut microbiota of adult humans.

Modulation (exerting a controlling influence) of the microbiota in a targeted manner might be useful in the treatment or prevention of human diseases and medical conditions. Although the rudiments of gut microbiota composition and its principal emergent properties were known long ago, DNA-based technology has provided detailed information about the taxonomic composition and functional capacity of the gut microbiota (19, 20). There is evidence from such studies that microbiota composition is altered in association with certain human diseases and conditions in comparison to the microbiota of well people (21–49). This evidence of “dysbiosis” (taxa imbalance; community perturbation leading to disturbance of microbe/host relationship) in sick humans has understandably become a major driving force for gut microbiota research. Although most evidence of dysbiosis is based on statistical correlation, and accepting that attempts at testing Koch’s postulates using human microbiota rodents may be flawed (49, 50), investigations into the modulation of the gut microbiota using plant glycans nevertheless remain a valid goal in gut microbiota research due to the need to alleviate the enormous burden placed on health services by noncommunicable diseases.

**WHAT ARE PLANT GLYCANS?**

Plant cells contain polymeric compounds that consist of a large number of monosaccharides with glycosidic linkages: plant glycans. Two groups of glycans (starches and hemicelluloses) that comprise dietary fiber have received particular attention in the case of the human gut microbiota (8). Starch is an energy storage polymer

---

**TEXT BOX 1 CODEX definition of dietary fiber (5)**

Dietary fiber means carbohydrate polymers\(^a\) with 10 or more monometric units\(^b\) which are not hydrolyzed by the endogenous enzymes in the small intestine of humans and belong to the following categories:

1. Edible carbohydrate polymers naturally occurring in the food as consumed.
2. Carbohydrate polymers, which have been obtained from food raw material by physical, enzymatic, or chemical means and which have been shown to have a physiological effect of benefit to health, as demonstrated by generally accepted scientific evidence to competent authorities.
3. Synthetic carbohydrate polymers, which have been shown to have a physiological effect of benefit to health, as demonstrated by generally accepted scientific evidence to competent authorities.

\(^a\)When derived from a plant origin, dietary fiber may include fractions from lignan and/or other compounds associated with polysaccharides in the plant cell walls. These compounds also may be measured by a certain analytical method(s) for dietary fiber.

\(^b\)Decision on whether to include carbohydrates of 3 to 9 monomeric units should be left up to national authorities.
produced by many plants and consists of two types of molecules: linear and helical amylose and branched amylpectin. The proportions of amylose and amylpectin in starch are variable according to plant source. Amylose is more resistant to digestion by human amylase than is amylpectin. Moreover, starch may be inaccessible to digestion if trapped within seeds and grains. When cooked, starch undergoes gelatinization, in which the crystal structure is broken down and the molecules become accessible to amylase. However, cooling, drying, and freezing starch cause changes in starch structure (retrogradation), which transforms it into an indigestible form (Table 1) (51–53).  

The second major group of plant glycans, the hemicelluloses, provides the matrix in which cellulose fibrils are embedded within the plant cell wall (Table 1). They include mannans, xylans, arabinoxylans, glucans, and pectins that vary greatly in chemical composition and structure, even within specific glycan groups when they are derived from different plant sources. For example, xylans consist of a xylopyranose backbone onto which methylglucuronic acid groups, O-acetyl groups, or sugars such as arabinose can be substituted in greater or lesser complexity. Ferulic acid can be attached to these arabinose molecules (54). Arabinoxylans have backbones substituted in places with arabinose and are common in cereal grains (wheat, barley, maize, rice). They form part of the diet of humans worldwide. Hence, there has been considerable interest in the microbiota response to ingesting arabinoxylan oligosaccharides (AXOS) derived by hydrolysis of arabinoxylans (55–62). A consistent finding is that short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) (usually butyrate) concentrations rise during consumption of arabinoxylan/AXOS-supplemented diets, but the increases are small and individualistic (55, 63). Since therapeutic or prophylactic levels of butyrate have not been established, the significance of these augmentations to human health is unknown, but they show promise because they indicate that modulating the metabolic output of the microbiota is possible.

The chemical diversity that exists among plant glycans has the potential to differentially influence particular attributes of the microbiota, because only bacteria that possess the appropriate, specialized biochemical machinery will metabolize them. If all other growth-limiting nutrients are available, these species will replicate and perhaps have increased cell numbers and/or metabolic output. Earlier analyses of genomic data of cultured members of the gut microbiota, as well as metagenomic investigations of whole communities, have revealed biochemical pathways by which the bacteria can degrade a wide variety of plant glycans (64, 65). Genomic and biochemical investigations of cultured members of the gut microbiota have revealed molecular details of how the specialized bacteria degrade plant glycans for growth (66–69). In general, they comprise highly specific, colocalized, coregulated complexes of proteins at cell surfaces that recognize, sequester, and degrade glycans and transfer hydrolytic products resulting from their degradation into the bacterial cell (Table 2).

Plant glycans might be said to belong to the category of food supplements known as “prebiotics,” i.e., “a substrate that is selectively utilized by host microorganisms...
TABLE 2 Examples of molecular modules associated with plant glycan degradation by human gut bacteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolic module</th>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Reference(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polysaccharide utilization loci (PULs; e.g., Bacteroides ovatus; Roseburia/Eubacterium rectale group (gpPULs))</td>
<td>Genetic loci that encode proteins for the highly specific capture, degradation, and importation of specific glycans. Each kind of PUL targets a different glycan structure. The archetypal PUL is the starch utilization system (Sus) that contains eight colocalized and coregulated genes. gpPULs are not close homologs of Bacteroides PULs and vary between Firmicutes in terms of specific glycosyl hydrolase genes.</td>
<td>66–70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose (e.g., Ruminococcus champanellensis) and amylosome (e.g., Ruminococcus bromii)</td>
<td>Complexes of molecules that bring hydrolytic enzymes and carbohydrate domains in substrates together at the cell surface, facilitated by dockerins and cohesins. The amylosome is simpler than a cellulose but is associated with the exceptional ability of bacteria to degrade particulate-resistance starches.</td>
<td>71–74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell surface-associated complex (e.g., Monoglobus pectinilyticus)</td>
<td>A combination of enzymes that degrade pectin. Esterases remove methyl and acetyl groups from pectins, allowing pectic lyases access to HG and RG backbones. S-layer proteins may anchor enzymes to the cell surface.</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylan degradation genes (e.g., Bacteroides ovatus, Bacteroides xylanisolvens)</td>
<td>Utilization of xylan by B. ovatus and B. xylanisolvens is associated with one large PUL and one small PUL (remnant) that are transcriptionally linked. The small PUL is linked to the utilization of xylans of simple composition, whereas the large PUL is associated with the degradation of structurally complex xylans. A key feature of xylan degradation appears to be endo-1,4-β-xylanases belonging to glycosyl hydrolase family 10. Of the Bacteroidetes, only B. ovatus and B. xylanisolvens have these PULs.</td>
<td>76–79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a*gpPULs, Gram-positive polysaccharide utilization loci; HG, homogalacturonan; RG, rhamnogalacturonan.

conferring a health benefit" (80). However, “prebiotic” commonly refers to inulin, fructooligosaccharides (FOS), and galactooligosaccharides (GOS), which are used as food additives with the aim of producing an augmentation of the numbers of bifidobacteria and lactic acid bacteria in the gut. The use of glycans with greater structural complexity is more likely to target the bacterial species that are characteristically members of the normally predominant families of bacteria of the mature gut microbiota of adult humans. Therefore, the FOS (derived from hydrolysis of inulin or by chemical synthesis) and galactose-containing substances (GOS; synthesized from lactose), although widely used in the food industry, will not be considered further in this review. There are already many published articles contributing information on this topic (81–87).

**IS THERE EVIDENCE FOR DIFFERENTIAL USE OF PLANT GLYCANS BY GUT BACTERIA?**

A differential effect on the members of the microbiota is implicit in the concept of modulation using dietary fiber. Preferential utilization of particular glycans by different bacterial taxa is the specific basis of the modulatory effect. Culture-based studies confirm the evidence from genetic studies that specialization of substrate utilization by gut bacteria occurs, even to the level of species and strains (77, 88–93). Much of this work relates to commonly detected members of the gut microbiota that belong to the genus Bacteroides, which can serve as an exemplar. In general, Bacteroides species have relatively large genomes that encode numerous carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes) conferring the ability of the bacteria to synthesize, recognize, or metabolize complex carbohydrates (94). The results of laboratory studies with cultured Bacteroides species show, indeed, that the species differ in ability to use particular glycans as growth substrates (for example, barley β-β-glucan) (Fig. 1A). Biochemically complex molecules, such as flax xylan, narrow the number of species that, in pure culture, can utilize the substrate (Fig. 1B). In some instances, clustering of species according to growth in medium containing pectic substrates occurs (Fig. 1C), indicating that several species can potentially occupy the same fundamental niche in the gut community while others have unique roles. Similar growth profiles may reflect interchangeable members of bacterial consortia involved in the degradation and fermentation of specific glycans. Additionally, individual species have preferences to degrade particular regions of complex glycans. For example, several Bacteroides species can grow using the homogalacturonan backbone of pectins, but relatively few can utilize the rhamnogalacturonan portion and only after the removal of arabinan, galactan, and arabinogalactan side chains by other bacteria. Utilization of these sugars for growth is
FIG 1 Differential utilization of plant glycans by *Bacteroides* species. (A) Barley β-glucan as a growth substrate; (B) New Zealand flax xylan as a growth substrate; (C) pectic polysaccharides as growth substrates. (A and B) Mean $A_{600}$ ratios after 24 h of incubation of cultures of *Bacteroides* species in basal medium containing plant glycans (0.2%, wt/vol) relative to basal medium. Significantly different values ($P < 0.05$) from controls are shown as black bars. (C) Significantly ($P < 0.05$) augmented growth relative to the control ($A_{600}$ ratios) in the form of a heat map. Nonsignificant differences in growth are plotted as zero. Data are taken from references 89, 91, and 92.
also differential among *Bacteroides ovatus*, *Bacteroides finegoldii*, *Bacteroides cellulosytilicus*, and *Bacteroides intestinalis* (91). Moreover, bacteria prioritize glycans as to order of utilization for growth. *Bacteroides ovatus*, for example, utilizes less complex, smaller polysaccharides before using branched, higher-molecular-weight polymers (approximate order, $\beta$-glucan, pectin, xylan, and arabinoxylan [100, ~300, 1,028, and 232 kDa, respectively] when presented with a mixture of these substrates (92, 95–97). *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*, when provided with a mixture of 12 glycans, prioritizes the use of some over others, as evidenced by measurement of the transcription of polysaccharide utilization loci (PULs) (98). Chemical linkages in glycans also influence the specificity of utilization. For example, the specific import of $\beta2$-6 fructan, relative to $\beta2$-1 fructan, into the periplasm of *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* is determined by cell surface-associated degradation and binding machinery (99). The structural complexity of the molecules and the corresponding need for coordinated actions of several enzymes in the degradation of substrates are important determinants of prioritization (100). The human host is likely to consume a mixture of glycans from different plant sources (fruits and vegetables) each day, so knowledge of how the bacterial taxa react to this in terms of the prioritization of use of specific glycans, and the extent to which each is used, will be critical to the success of efforts to modulate the gut microbiota. For example, testing taxa to utilize specific plant glycans relies on the culture of fastidious bacteria under laboratory conditions, a skill that needs to be encouraged despite an emphasis in recent decades on culture-independent (nucleic acid-based) studies of the gut microbiota. Metagenomic studies indicate that a large proportion of the taxa detected in gut microbiota studies do not yet have a cultivated representative, but a proportion of these genomes may originate in gut transients, not inhabitants (101). However, the common, predominant members of the microbiota have been cultivated and are extant in culture collections and hence available for use in screening complex glycans as growth substrates (102, 103). Pure culture studies are a starting point, but multiplex cocultures will be necessary to reveal the interactive networks by which complex substrates are degraded by consortia of bacterial species. Thus, trophic patterns need to be elucidated and used in the design of food interventions in human studies. Continuous cocultures in chemostats, and in multistage fermentors simulating the gut, will provide useful knowledge in this respect, because the bacteria can be maintained as stable populations (87, 104–108). Chemostats provide steady-state conditions (growth occurs at a constant rate and in a constant environment) under which all of the bacterial cells have a similar metabolic state under conditions of carbon and energy limitation. These conditions relate to bacterial growth in the colon, where competition for growth substrates is intense due to the large, complex microbial community. This is apparent by observation of the reduced breadth of realized niches (actual activity in the community) relative to that of fundamental niches (potential capacity measured *in vitro*) of gut bacteria (109). However, the colon is probably not exactly a continuous-culture system, because the digesta passes through the gut in boluses and the ileocecal valve controls passage of digesta into the large bowel. Hence, the colon environment may provide a mixture of batch and continuous culture conditions that are difficult to replicate *in vitro*.

It will be important to recognize and understand the functioning of bacterial consortia associated with the degradation and fermentation of specific plant glycans. Dietary intervention with plant glycans will be most likely to modulate interactive bacterial species rather than individual target species that act alone. Microbial consortia can be thought of as clusters of taxa that cooperate metabolically to degrade and ferment complex substrates. These consortia are based on shared nourishment of the members (syntrophy). Polysaccharide backbones of complex substrates may be prepared for hydrolysis by removal of sugars linked to the polymer. Other species capable of hydrolyzing the substrate (all or in part) “leak” potential nutrients to taxa that, themselves, cannot degrade the intact substrate. It is assumed that there will be a *quid pro quo* in that the nonhydrolytic members of the consortium will help provide an environment that enhances life for the whole entity (19). For example, this could be by production of growth-limiting nutrients, such as vitamins (92, 110–112). Metabolic cross-
feeding of hydrogen (interspecies hydrogen transfer) is likely to be important because maintenance of a low partial pressure of hydrogen thermodynamically favors reoxidation of NADH resulting from fermentative reactions. This allows even more fermentation, and therefore increased growth of saccharolytic bacteria, to occur (113). Acetogens, methanogens, and sulfate-reducing bacteria are important hydrogenotrophs, even though they are present at relatively low abundances in the human colon (114). Metabolic cross-feeding of fermentation acids is also notable in gut microorganisms (115, 116). For example, succinate produced by *Bacteroides* species is then transformed into propionate by *Veillonella parvula* (97, 117). It will therefore be important to identify robust clusters of taxa in metagenomic studies of the microbiota in assessing the modulatory effects of dietary modification.

**CHOOSING THE RIGHT DIETARY FIBER TO MODULATE THE GUT MICROBIOTA**

Modulation of the gut microbiota presupposes that suitable choices of glycans that have differential effects can be found and then administered in conjunction with knowledge of what is normally consumed. Food frequency questionnaires (FFQs) are widely used in nutritional studies to gain insight into the variety of food groups and associated nutrients that are consumed over a prescribed period, usually 7 to 30 days (118). FFQs collect information on the frequency of consumption of foods but usually not on the quantity consumed. When FFQs do include questions about quantity, quantity is typically based on standard portion sizes rather than direct weight or use of household utensils. A drawback is that FFQs rely on recall of what was eaten, and recall bias is likely to increase with longer periods of recall (119). The weighed food record (WFR) provides the most accurate information about dietary intake (120–122). It requires that all food and beverage portions be weighed at the time of consumption; plate waste is recorded, along with a description of the food, preparation methods, and brand names. The WFR can be used to validate the accuracy of an FFQ. Information from FFQs and/or WFRs enables habitual dietary patterns to be constructed, and individuals can be graded according to adherence/nonadherence to a pattern (123). Dietary patterns have been used to investigate associations between diet and disease and should be of increasing benefit in understanding dietary impacts on the maturation of the gut microbiota (124, 125). Taken a step further, measurement of adherence to dietary patterns in association with microbiota data might reveal deficits in specific plant glycans intake, which could be rectified by dietary correction of natural food intake or the use of diet supplements. This assumes that nutritional analysis is equal to the task; there are concerns about the estimation of specific kinds of dietary fiber. Definitions of food categories change, and the kinds of technical assays that are used in analysis affect the completeness and accuracy of food composition databases (126, 127). Once candidate dietary glycans are identified, procedures to achieve increased consumption of specific types of dietary fiber in attractive and palatable ways will need to be devised with consideration of daily caloric intake. Dose-response studies will be needed to determine and standardize efficacy. Large doses of complex glycans, relative to current intakes, may be required for efficacy, and this could repel consumers in Western countries, perhaps even globally, who need considerable persuasion to consume even 15 to 20 g of dietary fiber per day (128, 129). While laboratory culture studies use purified substrates extracted from plants, substances used in interventions may require cooking, which can alter chemical structures and hence might affect efficacy in the gut (130). Therefore, it will be wise to test processed dietary fiber, as well as “raw” ingredients, in screening studies.

**WHICH ASPECT OF THE GUT MICROBIOTA SHOULD WE MODULATE?**

Thought needs to be given to which aspect of the gut microbiota will be used as a measure of efficacy. The concept of “dysbiosis” is broadly based on a perceived imbalance in the taxa comprising the microbiota. Therefore, taxonomic analysis would be appropriate from this point of view, and compositional results of dysbiotic and treated
microbiotas could be compared. Methods for accomplishing this work are readily available, but in order to obtain reliable species-level data, metagenomic analysis will be required rather than only sequencing PCR amplicons of variable nucleotide regions of 16S rRNA genes. Indeed, given the biochemical variation of strains within species, much more in-depth analysis of the composition of microbiotas will be necessary (131–134). Identification of enterotypes (robust clusters of taxa) and construction of microbial (correlation) networks from sequencing data may facilitate understanding of community trophic structures. Networks show individual microbes as nodes (hub species) and species cooccurrence or mutual exclusion as feature-feature pairs (edges); an edge may imply a biological or biochemical relationship between features. Microbes that benefit each other may be positively correlated, whereas microbes that compete for the same niche may be negatively correlated (16, 135–138). Such kinds of analysis may reveal consortia of bacterial species involved in the utilization of particular substrates. The prevalence of biochemical pathways in microbiotas can be deduced from metagenomic data, but these data, which show only metabolic capacity, will not necessarily change as a result of diet interventions, given the stability of genotypes associated with “function” among individuals with microbiotas of markedly different taxonomic compositions (25). This stability reflects the metabolic redundancy characteristic of gut microbiotas in which the same biochemical function is exerted by more than one bacterial species (139, 140). Transcriptome studies might be useful in monitoring the impact of dietary intervention, because clues to the dynamics of intercommensal relationships can be obtained using transcriptomic analysis; it potentially “eavesdrops” on the molecular conversations of members of a community (92, 141, 142). The use of stable-isotope-labeled substrates to probe the microbial utilization of specific substances has also gained attention in microbial ecology and has potential in investigating the flow of carbon from plant carbohydrates to bacterial consortia in the gut. Stable-isotope probing (SIP) can provide insights into both microbial phylogeny and metabolic activity by tracking stable-isotope-labeled atoms from substrates to incorporation in bacterial 16S rRNA (RNA-SIP) (143–146). Technically simpler and less expensive options of monitoring could be directed toward the emergent properties of the microbiota. The most obvious are the proportions of SCFAs (principally acetate, propionate, and butyrate) produced by the microbiota as a result of the collective activities of the community in degrading and fermenting exogenous and endogenous substrates (147). SCFAs are ligands of receptors in the gut mucosa and, as signaling molecules, affect immune and physiological processes (such as satiety) (148–150). Measurement of other biochemical molecules that have the potential to signal in otherwise human processes (for example the gut-brain axis) may be even more rewarding (151–153).

EVIDENCE THAT THE GUT MICROBIOTA OF HUMANS RESPONDS TO DIETARY DIFFERENCES

Compelling evidence of dietary modulation of the gut microbiota comes from studies of the compositions and emergent properties of the gut community of nonwesternized humans in Africa compared to those of westerners. For example, children living in a rural village in Burkina Faso, Africa, eating food high in dietary fiber relative to the “modern Western diet” of urban Italian children had plentiful Bacteroidetes (57.7% compared to 22.4% relative abundance) in feces, whereas Firmicutes were depleted (27.3% versus 63.7%) (154). Members of the genera Prevotella and Xylanibacter that had the genetic capacity to hydrolyze cellulose and xylan were abundant in the African children’s microbiota but were lacking in the microbiota of Italian children. As a consequence of fiber degradation and fermentation, there was a higher concentration of SCFAs in the feces of Burkina Faso children. These observations of the fecal microbiotas of rural African children reflected consumption of a polysaccharide-rich diet from which they were able to achieve maximal energy harvest through bacterial fermentation.

Similarly, the Hadza, African hunter-gatherers, have a gut microbiota that has greater taxonomic diversity of bacteria than that of Italians (155, 156). The microbiota
includes many *Bacteroidetes*, *Clostridiales*, *Bacteroidales*, and *Lachnospiraceae* that do not resemble previously detected families and genera. Moreover, the Hadza microbiota differs between men and women, reflecting the different diets of the groups stemming from different community roles: women tend to consume more dietary fiber than men. The dietary fiber is sourced especially from tubers, which is associated with increases in the relative abundance of spirochetes (*Treponema*) in the microbiotas of women. These bacteria are rare in Western microbiotas. Hadza men consume more meat while on hunting expeditions. Their microbiota has increased abundances of *Eubacterium* and *Blautia* relative to that of women. No such gender differentiation of Italian microbiotas was apparent. Emergent properties of the microbiotas differed between the Hadza and Italians, reflecting the relative amounts and types of dietary fiber that were consumed. Italians had more butyrate in the feces than the Hadza, who had more propionate. Lower concentrations of butyrate were associated with lower content of *Clostridium* clusters IV and XIVa (butyrate producers), whereas more propionate was associated with greater relative abundance of *Prevotella*.

These studies, together with studies in which participants undertook major changes in diet under experimental conditions (“plant-based” diet, i.e., rich in grains, legumes, fruits, and vegetables, or “animal-based” diet, i.e., rich in meats, eggs, and cheese), provide clear evidence that the microbiota and its function can be modulated by habitual dietary intake (157). Under experimental conditions, the altered dietary intakes of the participants did not affect the variety of bacteria present (alpha-diversity), but changes in microbiota composition based on differences in phylogeny, relative abundances of “species,” and degree of similarity of microbiotas (beta-diversity) could be detected between the animal-based diet group and other groups just 1 day after the food reached the colon. The most common clusters associated with the animal-based diet were composed of bile-resistant bacteria, which correlated with the known increase of bile acids in the gut when there is a high fat intake. Feces from participants consuming the animal-based diet had much lower concentrations of SCFAs originating from the fermentation of carbohydrates (acetate and butyrate) but higher concentrations of SCFAs originating from the fermentation of amino acids (isobutyrate, isovalerate), relative to baseline and plant-based diet samples.

**OTHER CONSIDERATIONS LEARNED FROM DIETARY INTERVENTION STUDIES**

Important knowledge associated with modulation of the gut microbiota has emerged from more subtle dietary interventions.

**A high-diversity microbiota may not respond to dietary intervention.** Microbiotas that are already relatively high in diversity do not respond to increased intake of dietary fiber even at a level of 40 g per day (128). Thus, screening the gut microbiotas of potential participants in intervention studies to identify individuals with low-diversity communities has been suggested. There would then be some hope of obtaining “improvement” (158–160). High-diversity microbiota compositions are considered preferable to low-diversity communities (139, 161–163). This is because communities with high taxonomic diversity have high functional diversity associated with metabolic redundancy among taxa. This gives resilience to communities, because the loss of some members of the microbiota will not necessarily mean the total loss of a particular function (140). Dysbiotic microbiotas may lack this functional resilience and hence do not perform the usual interactive relationship with the human host. These low-diversity microbiotas are clearly a target for dietary intervention, because plant glycans are the main nutritional driver of the gut microbiota (3).

**Among unselected human subjects, only some will have microbiotas that respond to a particular dietary intervention.** For example, Walker and colleagues investigated the effect of dietary intervention in overweight men (159). A diet containing resistant starch (RS) was included in the study. Increases in the numbers of a small number of taxa (*Ruminococcus bromii*-like, *Oscillibacter*-like, and *Eubacterium rectale*-like bacteria) were detected by quantitative PCR when the RS diet was consumed, but there was considerable interindividual variation. In two human subjects, greater than
60% of RS passed through the gut undigested, probably related to the paucity of *R. bromii*-like bacteria in the feces. Baxter and colleagues reached similar conclusions in a more recent study (164). Correcting a society-wide deficiency (e.g., in butyrate concentration) will be difficult, because some humans will not respond and therefore benefit. However, in correcting a dysbiosis associated with disease, the aim is not to provide a “one-diet-fits-all” approach to improving general health but must target specific aspects of the microbiota that are associated with particular diseases or conditions. So, a realistic aim is to target dysbiosis-associated diseases but not to reach as far as personalized nutrition, unless a critical factor for microbiota regeneration is absent in particular individuals. This requires a different mindset from that of traditional prebiotics, because it requires a more pharmaceutical approach (restoring, correcting, or modifying specific organic functions) rather than general wellness. Bacteria detected in the gut microbiotas of pastoral and hunter-gatherer societies (*Succinivibrionaceae, Paraprevotellaceae, Spirochaetaceae, Prevotellaceae*), which are dependent on seasonal diet, but not in the microbiotas of westerners might be necessary “probiotic” adjuncts to dietary modification in order to supply “missing microbes” (165).

**The quantity of dietary fiber above baseline level that is required to produce consistently measurable results.** The amount of dietary fiber that might need to be consumed daily, long term, in order to produce a sustainable outcome could be beyond the tolerance of modern-day humans in Western countries. Daily intakes of 80 to 150 g of dietary fiber per day would equate to that consumed by the Hadza (155). Changing quality rather than quantity of dietary fiber might be a more attractive proposition. Substantial knowledge of the differential utilization of plant glycans by bacterial consortia in the gut, including comparisons of what is ingested and what is excreted, is required to enhance the value of dietary intervention studies. Dose-response experiments are allied with this work because the minimum efficacious amount of glycan in relation to potential adverse effects should be known (for example, excessive flatus production). In the case of the gut microbiota, the dose response is conditional because extensive metabolic interactions within the microbiota are likely. Several of these aspects were investigated by Deehan and colleagues, who found that different forms of chemically modified starch with small structural differences produced differential effects in microbiota composition and SCFA production and that augmentation of these effects by increased intake was limited because a plateau was reached at 35 g per day (166).

**Testing the long-term effects of dietary intervention.** Limitations of investigations of the gut microbiota include the relatively small numbers of human subjects that are recruited to studies and the analysis of a single fecal sample per subject. With dietary interventions, it seems crucial to conduct temporal studies during which dietary modification is performed in double cross-over trials that are months in duration. We do not know accurately the extent of seasonal variation on microbiota composition in Western societies or whether modulation is sustainable in the long term. Even if dietary intervention is maintained, changes in microbiota characteristics may soon disappear and revert to baseline. This aspect requires extensive research (167).

**Incorporating the glycans as a “package” of substrates with differential effects.** Dietary fads should not be encouraged, and all dietary interventions need to be considered rigorously for potential to cause harm. Ways need to be devised to encourage the consumption of a balanced diet that contains mixtures of glycans that will support the development and maintenance of a highly diverse and functionally resilient gut microbiota. In large part, this will succeed only if ways to increase intake of particular dietary fibers in a palatable form can be found. For example, the “Mediterranean diet,” inspired by the eating habits of people in Greece, southern Italy, and Spain in the 1940s and 50s, is characterized by fruit, vegetables, fish, and whole grains and limited saturated fats (168–170). While most interest has focused on the reduced risk of heart disease associated with this diet, Italians who adhere closely to the diet have higher SCFA concentrations in feces than in those with lesser adherence. This indicates an impact of a diet containing complex carbohydrates on the emergent properties of the
microbiota. Specifying the types of fruits and vegetables in a diet on the basis of the amount and types of plant glycans that will be delivered to the microbiota would be an advance.

**POTENTIAL DYSBIOTIC TARGETS**

Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) (Crohn’s disease [CD] and ulcerative colitis [UC]) are disorders that have genetic predispositions, environmental modifiers, and chronic immune-mediated tissue damage (171, 172). The gut microbiota has been invoked as a major environmental factor in IBD mainly because of evidence obtained from experimental animal models of gut inflammation. Colitis occurs only if genetically predisposed germfree animals are colonized by a gut microbiota (173). A single bacterial species has not been identified as the causative agent of IBD. Rather, human studies indicate that the diversity of species comprising the microbiota in CD in particular is less than in health. There is generally a depletion of members of clostridial cluster IV (Clostridium leptum cluster) that characteristically produce butyrate. Interest has also been placed on Faecalibacterium prausnitzii and Roseburia species (clostridial cluster XIVa, Clostridium cocoides cluster), because there is an inverse correlation between abundance of these species and disease activity (22, 24, 30, 174–176). Although a causative effect of dysbiosis has not been established in IBD, butyrate is an energy source for colonicocytes and has anti-inflammatory effects. Thus, correction of the dysbiosis by modulation of the microbiota to produce more butyrate remains an attractive treatment option. IBD patients tend to have low fiber intakes (https://www.crohnscolitisfoundation.org/diet-and-nutrition/what-should-i-eat). This lower intake of dietary fiber may be the actual reason for reduced production of butyrate. Increasing dietary fiber intake may therefore be beneficial for some IBD patients but may not be appropriate for patients with bowel strictures where there is potential for blockage.

The best current nutrition-based treatment for IBD (mainly pediatric CD) is exclusive enteral nutrition (EEN), in which patients consume a liquid diet that provides all of their energy and nutrient needs (177–179). Ironically, EEN results in lower butyrate production by the gut microbiota because the diet does not contain dietary fiber (180). Perhaps the importance of butyrate in gut health has been overstated, but further research may show that smaller quantities can still be therapeutic. These observations point to the need to develop threshold criteria for microbial populations and metabolites. How big an increase/decrease in butyrate concentration, for example, is needed to produce a therapeutic or prophylactic outcome?

Metabolic syndrome encompasses a cluster of conditions (elevated blood pressure, elevated blood glucose concentration, excess body fat around the waist, abnormal blood cholesterol levels) that, when occurring together, increase the risk of heart disease, stroke, and diabetes. These noncommunicable diseases are of increasing concern throughout the world and are clearly associated with lower intakes of dietary fiber (129). Therefore, metabolic syndrome provides the best target of dietary modulation of the gut microbiota. Millions of people will be benefited, and costs for health services will be reduced. As mentioned above, SCFAs produced by the microbiota, as well as having caloric value, are important signaling molecules in the gut (148, 181–185). Additionally, propionate has anorectic properties by influencing gut hormones (peptide YY [PYY] and glucagon-like peptide 1 [GLP-1]) and thus reducing energy intake (186). Bile acids are also of interest in relation to metabolic syndrome. Bile acids are formed in the liver and released into the small bowel in bile. The bile acids are required at critical concentrations to assist in the emulsification of dietary lipids to facilitate hydrolysis by digestive enzymes and for absorption of fatty acids by the small bowel mucosa. Highly efficient recycling of bile acids occurs (the enterohepatic circulation), but about 5% of bile acids reach the colon, where members of the Bacteroidetes phylum in particular carry out chemical transformations that result in the secondary bile acids, deoxycholate and lithocholate (187, 188). The deconjugation of primary bile acids is the first step in the transformation process, whereby amino acids (glycine or taurine) are
removed. This step is catalyzed by bile salt hydrolases produced by the bacteria (187). Experiments with poultry and mice, as well as observations in human gastric bypass patients, indicate that bile salt hydrolase activity in the bowel can modify lipid absorption, blood levels of bile acids, blood lipid chemistry, and weight loss (188–191). These effects probably involve signaling through bile salt receptors in the gut mucosa. Thus, the effects of dietary modulation of the gut microbiota could, in this case, be determined indirectly using metabolomic measurements of blood (plasma) constituents. In addition to standard diagnostic tests based on blood samples (for example, concentrations of glucose, HbA1c, cholesterol, and insulin), the availability of kits that provide standardized technical means for targeted analysis using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) makes this a feasible option (192–194). Combined microbial and human profiling could be used to thoroughly monitor efficacy in dietary intervention studies.

DIFFERENCES IN SCIENTIFIC AND COMMERCIAL IMPERATIVES

Medical/scientific goals in modulation of the microbiota will likely focus on correcting specific dysbioses associated with particular diseases and to be allied, wherever possible, with the aim of increasing dietary fiber intake, known to promote general good health (129, 195). This approach may be satisfied by the recommendation to consume particular classes of fruits and vegetables that are known to contain glycans that can be degraded and fermented by specific bacterial taxa. The commercial imperative may be rather to extract (purify) specific glycans, especially from material that is currently considered waste (for example, peelings or seeds) so that increased value is extracted from crops. The extracted and processed glycans can be incorporated into capsules or packaged powders for consumption, making delivery of the “dietary fiber” to the human gut easy but, of course, somewhat more expensive because of processing costs. The medical/scientific and commercial approaches are not mutually exclusive and could be combined, but consideration needs to be given to the efficacy of administration of a single substrate (which may have been chemically altered during extraction) to the gut ecosystem rather than a complexity of glycans such as might be found in natural fruits and vegetables (unprocessed except by cooking in some instances). The relative efficacies of these two approaches need to be tested, as well as comparisons of ease of uptake and cost to the consumer, and should always be defined in relation to improved health.

THE RESEARCH JOURNEY AHEAD

Evaluation of the literature indicates that continuing to conduct dietary intervention trials of a speculative nature (feed it and see what happens to the microbiota) are not helpful. The trials do not offer clear solutions that will minimize the ravages of non-communicable diseases, largely because the ecology of health-associated microbiotas has not yet been adequately defined. We know well who are the members of microbiotas and what they are capable of doing in a gross sense, but we cannot adequately predict why perturbations occur in relation to specific diseases. Nor are we able to produce a roadmap of how a perturbed ecosystem can be rectified in the long term. Clearly, we have to understand how the gut microbiota functions in health, including knowledge of thresholds of abundances of taxa or bioactive substances produced by the microbiota, above or below which disease are associated. Otherwise, changes in relative abundances of particular taxa and/or butyrate, for example, lack meaningful context. Modulations of the microbiota need to be considered in terms of the formation and function of metabolic consortia. A spatial perspective of the habitat is likely to be important; bacterial cells are associated with plant particulate material in the digesta, and these bacteria doubtless have a role in the hydrolysis and fermentation of plant polysaccharides in the gut (196, 197). Spatial associations on food particles of hydrolytic bacteria (producers) with other bacterial species that benefit from “leakage”
of hydrolytic products that are potential growth substrates can be envisioned in the development of consortia in the gut ecosystem.

The next phase of research is thus to define the composition of bacterial consortia associated with the degradation and fermentation of plant glycans and the changing abundances of specific consortia associated with alterations to diet. The aim is to fit members of bacterial taxa together into consortia, as in fitting pieces together in a jigsaw puzzle, and to measure the kinetics of the integrated metabolism within each consortium. Different consortia will be formed around different glycans, which specifically fuel each consortium, so there will be many consortia with different functions in the microbiota when a complex mixture of plant glycans is available. The further aim is to test the hypothesis that consortia that carry out the same function can differ in terms of constituent bacterial species (phylogenetically diverse bacteria can encode the same functions). This phenomenon would explain the individuality of human gut microbiota compositions but a general similarity in overall community functions. A structured, habitual, but interesting diet would promote the formation of varied consortia compositions but a general similarity in overall community functions. This phenomenon would explain the individuality of human gut microbiota detected in hunter-gatherers can be achieved in Western countries—the Hadža, too, prefer meat and honey to dietary fiber-laden tubers (165). The plea is for fundamental ecological research based on an understanding of the gut microbiota, in particular how high-diversity communities can be promoted by linking the intake of complex plant glycans of diverse chemistry with modulation of the gut microbiota.
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